arXiv:1302.0881v1 [math.CA] 4 Feb 2013

USING $\mathcal{D}$-OPERATORS TO CONSTRUCT ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS SATISFYING HIGHER ORDER DIFFERENCE OR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

ANTONIO J. DURÁN

Abstract. We introduce the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operators associated to a sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ and an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of operators acting in the linear space of polynomials. In this paper, we show that this concept is a powerful tool to generate families of orthogonal polynomials which are eigenfunctions of a higher order difference or differential operator. Indeed, given a classical discrete family $(p_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials (Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk or Hahn), we form a new sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by considering a linear combination of two consecutive p_n: $q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}$, $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator, we determine the structure of the sequence $(\beta_n)_n$ in order that the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are common eigenfunctions of a higher order difference operator. In addition, we generate sequences $(\beta_n)_n$ for which the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are also orthogonal with respect to a measure. The same approach is applied to the classical families of Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials.

1. Introduction and results

The issue of orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$ which are common eigenfunctions of a difference operator goes back at least for one century and a half. The first example was introduced by Chebyshev in 1858. Along the first decades of the XX century, some other examples appeared and they are associated with the names of Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk and Hahn. In 1941, O.T. Lancaster [31] classified all orthogonal polynomials on the real line satisfying second order difference equations of the form

$$\sigma\Delta\nabla p_n + \tau\nabla p_n = \lambda_n p_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where σ and τ are polynomials of degree at most 2 and 1, respectively (independent of n), and Δ and ∇ denote the first order difference operators:

defdn

$$\Delta(f) = f(x+1) - f(x), \qquad \nabla(f) = f(x) - f(x-1) \tag{1.1}$$

(that is, the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ are common eigenfunctions of the second order difference operator $\sigma\Delta\nabla + \tau\nabla$). If we consider orthogonal polynomials with respect to positive measures (on the real line), the only solutions are the polynomials of Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk and Hahn. For similarity with the families of Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi, they are usually called classical *discrete* polynomials.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 33C45, 33E30, 42C05.

Key words and phrases. Differential and difference operators; classical orthogonal polynomials; classical discrete orthogonal polynomials; Charlier polynomials; Meixner polynomials; Krawtchouk polynomials; Hahn polynomials; Laguerre polynomials; Jacobi polynomials; Krall polynomials.

Partially supported by MTM2012-36732-C03-03 (Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad), FQM-262, FQM-4643, FQM-7276 (Junta de Andalucía) and Feder Funds (European Union).

The adjective *discrete* means that each of these families is orthogonal with respect to a *discrete* measure. More precisely, the discrete orthogonalizing weights for these families of polynomials are ($N \in \mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}$):

`chwi`
$$\text{Charlier} \qquad \sum_{x\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{a^x}{x!}\delta_x, \quad 0<a; \tag{1.2}$$

`mewi`
$$\text{Meixner} \qquad \sum_{x\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{a^x\Gamma(x+c)}{x!}\delta_x, \quad 0<a<1, 0<c; \tag{1.3}$$

`krwi`
$$\text{Krawtchouk} \qquad \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \frac{a^x}{\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x, \quad 0<a; \tag{1.4}$$

`hawi`
$$\text{Hahn} \qquad \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-x)\Gamma(x+c)}{\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x, \quad \alpha>N-1, c>0. \tag{1.5}$$

However, almost nothing was known about orthogonal polynomials which are common eigenfunctions of a higher order difference operator. We will expand a finite difference operator using the basis formed by the shift operators $\mathfrak{s}_l$, $l\in\mathbb{Z}$, defined by

`defsh`
$$\mathfrak{s}_l(f) = f(x+l) \tag{1.6}$$

(this basis is equivalent to the basis formed by the powers of the difference operators Δ and ∇ defined by (1.1)). Hence we consider finite difference operators of the form

`doho`
$$D = \sum_{l=s}^{r} f_l \mathfrak{s}_l, \quad s\le r, s, r\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.7}$$

If $f_r, f_s \neq 0$, the order of D is then $r-s$. We also say that D has genre (s,r).

Until very recently no examples of orthogonal polynomials which are common eigenfunctions of a higher order difference operator of the form (1.7) were known, if we except the *discrete* classical families themselves. What we know for the continuos or q cases (differential or q-difference operators, respectively) has seemed to be of little help because adding Dirac deltas to the classical discrete families seems not to work (see the papers [2] and [3] by Bavinck, van Haeringen and Koekoek answering, in the negative, a question posed by R. Askey in 1991).

The first examples of orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$ which are common eigenfunctions of a difference operator of order bigger than 2 have been introduced by the author in [8]. We generate the orthogonalizing measures for these families of polynomials multiplying the classical discrete weights by certain variants of the annihilator polynomial of a set of numbers. For a finite set of numbers F, this annihilator polynomial $\mathfrak{p}_F$ is defined by

`dp1i`
$$\mathfrak{p}_F(x) = \prod_{j\in F}(x-j). \tag{1.8}$$

Using this annihilator polynomial (and other of its variants), we have posed in [8] a number of conjectures. Two of them are the following. Write n_F for the number of elements of F.

Conjecture 1. Let ρ be either the Meixner (1.3) or the Hahn weight (1.5) (in this last case we assume N big enough to avoid trivialities). For a finite set F of positive integers, consider the polynomial $\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}(x) = \prod_{j\in F}(x+c+j),$$

where c is the parameter in the Meixner and Hahn weights. Then, the sequence (finite or infinite) of orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\rho_{F,c} = \mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}\rho$ are common eigenfunctions of a difference operator D of the form

$$D = \sum_{l=-r}^{r} f_l(x)\mathfrak{s}_l, \quad \text{where } r = \sum_{x\in F} x - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1.$$

Conjecture 2. Let ρ be any of the classical discrete weights of Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk or Hahn (in this last cases we assume N big enough to avoid trivialities). For a finite set F of positive integers, assume that the measure $\rho_F = \mathfrak{p}_F\rho$ has associated a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials (finite or infinite: see the preliminaries for more details), where $\mathfrak{p}_F$ is the polynomial defined by (1.8). Then, these orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$ are common eigenfunctions of a difference operator D of the form

$$D = \sum_{l=-r}^{r} f_l(x)\mathfrak{s}_l, \quad \text{where } r = \sum_{x\in F} x - \frac{n_F(n_F-1)}{2} + 1.$$

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a method to generate sequences of orthogonal polynomials which are eigenfunctions of a higher order difference operator. The method is based on the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator associated to a sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ and an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of operators acting in the linear space of polynomials. Starting from one of the classical discrete families $(p_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials, we form a new sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by considering a linear combination of two consecutive p_n: $q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}$, $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Using the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator associated to the family $(p_n)_n$ and the algebra of difference operators with polynomial coefficients, we determine the structure of the sequence $(\beta_n)_n$ in order that the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are commons eigenfunctions of a higher order difference operator. We will use this technique to prove the conjectures 1 and 2 above when F is the finite set formed by the first k consecutive positive integers $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$, $k \geq 1$. This method also generates sequences of polynomials which are eigenfunctions of higher order differential (see the Appendix of this paper) or q-difference operators (see [1]). Hence, the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator provides an unified approach to construct orthogonal polynomials which are eigenfunctions for each one of these three different types of operators (differential, difference or q-difference).

The content of this paper is as follows.

In Section 3, we consider the key tool of our method: $\mathcal{D}$-operators associated to a sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ (p_n of degree n) and an algebra of operators $\mathcal{A}$ acting in the linear space of polynomials. We have different types of $\mathcal{D}$-operators. The simplest $\mathcal{D}$-operator is defined from an arbitrary sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ as follows: we consider first the operator $\zeta : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ defined by linearity from $\zeta(p_n) = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1}$. Using that $\operatorname{dgr}(\zeta(p)) < \operatorname{dgr}(p)$ for all polynomial p, we define the operator $\mathcal{D}$ by

`defToi`

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D} &: \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P} \\ \mathcal{D}(p) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta^j(p).\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

We then say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the sequence $(p_n)_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

For the examples in this paper we consider two algebras $\mathcal{A}$. When the sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is one of the classical discrete families (Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7) i.e. Charlier, Meixner, Krawtchouk or Hahn polynomials, we consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ formed by all finite order difference operators $\sum_{j=s}^{r} f_j \mathfrak{s}_j$ where f_j is a polynomial, $j = s, \cdots, r$:

`algdiff`

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{j=s}^{r} f_j \mathfrak{s}_j : f_j \in \mathbb{P}, j = s, \cdots, r, s \le r \right\}. \tag{1.10}$$

When the sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is either the Laguerre or Jacobi polynomials (Appendix), we consider the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ formed by all finite order differential operators $\sum_{j=0}^{k} f_j (d/dx)^j$ where f_j is a polynomial with degree at most j, $j = 0, \cdots, k$:

`algdiff1`

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k} f_j \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^j : f_j \in \mathbb{P}, \deg(f_j) \le j, j = 0, .., k, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \tag{1.11}$$

Here it is an example of $\mathcal{D}$-operator. Consider the Charlier polynomials $(c_n^a)_n$ (see (4.1) below), $a \neq 0$, and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ given by (1.10). Then the sequence $\varepsilon_n = 1$, $n \ge 0$, generates a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Charlier polynomials and this algebra $\mathcal{A}$; indeed, the operator $\mathcal{D}$ defined above (1.9) from the sequence $\varepsilon_n = 1$, $n \ge 0$, and the Charlier polynomials is equal to the Nabla operator (see (1.1)): $\mathcal{D} = \nabla \in \mathcal{A}$ (see Lemma 4.1). In this paper, we will also display two $\mathcal{D}$-operators for Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials (see Lemmas 5.1, 6.1), four $\mathcal{D}$-operators for Hahn polynomials (see Lemma 7.2), one for Laguerre polynomials (see Lemma 8.1) and two for Jacobi polynomials (see Lemma 8.7). For $\mathcal{D}$-operators associated to q-classical families of orthogonal polynomials see [1].

Roughly speaking, our method consists in the following. In addition to the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we also have an operator $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$ for which the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ are eigenfunctions. We denote $(\theta_n)_n$ the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues: $D_p(p_n) = \theta_n p_n$. To simplify we consider here in the Introduction the case when θ_n is linear in n. Assume also that we have identified a sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ which defines a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. We can then produce a large class of polynomial families $(q_n)_n$ which are eigenfunctions of operators in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ proceeding as follows. Take an arbitrary polynomial Q (such that $Q(\theta_n) \neq 0$, $n \ge 0$), and define the sequence of numbers $(\beta_n)_{n \ge 1}$ by

$$\beta_n = \varepsilon_n \frac{Q(\theta_n)}{Q(\theta_{n-1})}.$$

Define next a new sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$ and

qnint

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{1.12}$$

It turns out that the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are eigenfunctions for the operator $D_q = P(D_p) + \mathcal{D}Q(D_p)$, where the polynomial P satisfies $P(\theta_n) - P(\theta_{n-1}) = Q(\theta_{n-1})$ (since $(\theta_n)_n$ is lineal in n, P always exists). Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$ and then $D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ as well (see Section 3 for more details).

Only for a convenient choice of the polynomial Q, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (1.12) are also orthogonal with respect to a measure. When the sequence $(p_n)_n$ is any of the classical discrete families of orthogonal polynomials, a very nice symmetry between the family $(p_n)_n$ and the polynomial Q appear. Indeed, if p_n, $n \geq 0$, are either the Charlier, Meixner or Krawtchouk polynomials, then when we choose the polynomial Q in the same family (but with different parameters) the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ turn out to be orthogonal as well, while for the Hahn polynomials, the polynomial Q has to be chosen in the dual family. For other choices of the polynomial Q, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ seem not to be orthogonal with respect to any measure. In a subsequent paper, we will show that they enjoy certain orthogonality properties which imply that the family $(q_n)_n$ has to satisfy certain recurrence relation of order at most $2\deg(Q)+3$. In the terminology introduced by Duistermaat and Grünbaum ([6]; see also [12], [13]), we can say that our method produces bispectral polynomials: that is, the family $(q_n(x))_n$ are common eigenfunctions of two difference operators, one in the continuous parameter x and the other in the discrete parameter n.

Sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 are devoted to show how our method works by applying it to the families of classical discrete polynomials. Hence, this paper together with the forthcoming one ([1]) show that the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator provides an unified approach to construct orthogonal polynomial which are eigenfunctions of higher order differential, difference or q-difference operators, respectively.

Here it is an example of the kind of results we can get using the concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator.

th5.1ch

Theorem 1.1. *Let P_1 be a polynomial of degree $k+1$, $k \geq 1$, and write $P_2(x) = P_1(x-1)-P_1(x)$ (so that P_2 is a polynomial of degree k). We assume that $P_2(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, and define the sequences of numbers*

becchar

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n+1} &= P_2(-n), \quad n \geq 0,\\ \lambda_n &= P_1(-n), \quad n \geq 0,\\ \beta_n &= \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n} \quad n \geq 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $q_0 = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$

qnno

$$q_n(x) = c_n^a(x) + \beta_n c_{n-1}^a(x), \tag{1.14}$$

where c_n^a, $n \geq 0, a \neq 0$, are the Charlier polynomials (see (4.1) below). Consider the second order difference operator D_a (4.4) with respect to which the Charlier polynomials are eigenfunctions. Write finally D for the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$

$$D = P_1(D_a) + \nabla P_2(D_a).$$

Then $D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$, $n \geq 0$.

When we choose the polynomial P_2 in an appropriate way, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (1.14) are also orthogonal with respect to a measure. As we have pointed out above, there is a very nice symmetry in the choice of P_2. Indeed, for the Charlier case, when we choose $P_2(x) = c_k^{-a}(x-1)$ and assume $c_k^{-a}(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq -1$, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (1.14) are then orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$\tilde{\rho}_{k,a} = (-1)^k k! \delta_{-k-1} + \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{x+k+1}}{(x+k+1)x!}\delta_x, \quad a \neq 0.$$

This provides a proof for our Conjecture 2 above for the Charlier polynomials and the finite set $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$. Indeed, the measure $\mathfrak{p}_F \rho_a$, where $\mathfrak{p}_F$ is the polynomial (1.8) and ρ_a is the Charlier measure (1.2), is equal to the measure $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a}$ above shifted by $k+1$: $\mathfrak{p}_F \rho_a = \tilde{\rho}_{k,a}(x-k-1)$.

We have added an Appendix devoted to orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_n$ which are eigenfunctions for a higher order differential operator of the form

aode

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} f_l p_n^{(l)} = \lambda_n p_n, \quad n \geq 0, \tag{1.15}$$

where f_l, $l = 1, \cdots, k$, are polynomials independent of n (so that $(p_n)_n$ are common eigenfunctions of the differential operator $D = \sum_{l=1}^{k} f_l \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^l$). This issue goes back to the late thirties, when H.L. Krall classified all families of orthogonal polynomials which satisfy a fourth order differential equation of the form (1.15) (see [25, 26]). Besides the classical families of Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi (satisfying second order differential equations), he found three other families of orthogonal polynomials satisfying a fourth order differential equation of this type. These polynomials are orthogonal with respect to a positive measure which consists of some classical weights together with a Dirac delta at the end point(s) of the interval of orthogonality: $\chi_{[-1,1]} + M(\delta_{-1} + \delta_1)$ (Legendre type), $e^{-x} + M\delta_0$ (Laguerre type) and $(1-x)^\alpha \chi_{[0,1]} + M\delta_0$ (Jacobi type).

Since the eighties a lot of effort has been devoted to this issue. T. H. Koornwinder ([24]) studied in 1984 orthogonal polynomials with respect to the Jacobi weight together with two Dirac deltas at ±1

jacobid

$$(1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta + M\delta_{-1} + N\delta_1, \quad \alpha, \beta > -1. \tag{1.16}$$

As a limit case he found orthogonal polynomials with respect to the Laguerre weight together with a Dirac delta at 0

laguerred

$$x^\alpha e^{-x} + M\delta_0, \quad \alpha > -1. \tag{1.17}$$

Some years earlier, L.L. Littlejohn ([32, 34]) had discovered new families satisfying sixth order differential equations. They correspond with the case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ in (1.16) (Krall polynomials; discovered by H.L. Krall but never published) and $\alpha = 1, 2$ in (1.17) (Littlejohn polynomials). A. M. Krall and L. L. Littlejohn did some work on the classification of higher order differential equations of the form (1.15) having orthogonal polynomial solutions (for several values of $k \geq 6$) (see [27, 33]). J. Koekoek and R. Koekoek showed in 1991 that orthogonal polynomials with respect to the weights (1.17) satisfy infinite order differential equations, except for nonnegative integer values of α for which the order reduce to $2\alpha + 4$ ([20]). Similar results were proof by R. Koekoek for the Koornwinder polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight (1.16) for $\alpha = \beta$ and $M = N$ ([22]), and later on (again with

J. Koekoek) for the case $M, N > 0$ ([21]). K.H. Kwon and D.W. Lee proved that when we consider orthogonal polynomials with respect to a positive and compactly supported measure satisfying higher order differential equations, they have to be orthogonal with respect to some of the measures (1.16) ([28], see also [29], [30]). A. Zhedanov proposed a technique to construct Krall's polynomials and found a $(2\alpha+4)$-th order differential equation for the orthogonal polynomials with respect to (1.16) when α is a nonnegative integer and $M = 0$ ([38]).

F.A. Grünbaum and L. Haine (et al.) proved that polynomials satisfying fourth or higher order differential equations can be generated by applying Darboux transforms to certain instances of the classical polynomials (see [12, 14, 15, 17]). By modifying the Darboux process, they also introduced new families of polynomials satisfying fourth order differential equations of the form (1.15) which also satisfy five term recurrence relations, instead of the three term recurrence relation which characterizes the orthogonality with respect to a measure (see [13]). P. Iliev refined this approach and characterized the algebras of differential operators associated to these families of polynomials (see [18], [19]).

In the Appendix we construct one $\mathcal{D}$-operator for Laguerre polynomials and two for Jacobi polynomials, and apply our method to recover some of the results commented in the previous paragraphs.

2. Preliminaries

For a linear operator $D : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ and a polynomial $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j x^j$, the operator $P(D)$ is defined in the usual way $P(D) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_j D^j$.

As usual $(a)_j$ will denote the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_0 = 1, \qquad (a)_j = a(a+1)\cdots(a+j-1), \quad \text{for } j \geq 1,\, a \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Let μ be a moment functional on the real line, that is, a linear mapping $\mu : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{R}$. The n-th moment of μ is defined by $\mu_n = \langle \mu, x^n \rangle$. It is well-known that any moment functional on the real line can be represented by integrating with respect to a Borel measure (positive or not) on the real line (this representation is not unique [7]). If we also denote this measure by μ, we have $\langle \mu, p \rangle = \int p(x) d\mu(x)$ for all polynomial $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Taking this into account, we will conveniently use along this paper one or other terminology (orthogonality with respect to a moment functional or with respect to a measure).

We can associate to the moment functional μ a bilinear form defined in the linear space of real polynomials:

`bf`

$$B(p,q) = \langle \mu, p(x)q(x) \rangle. \tag{2.1}$$

If the moment funcional μ is represented by a discrete measure supported in a countable set X of real numbers, namely $\mu = \sum_{x \in X} \mu(x) \delta_x$, the bilinear form above is equal to $B(p,q) = \sum_{x \in X} p(x)q(x)\mu(x)$.

Let K be a positive integer. If

`defth`

$$\Theta_n = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & \cdots & \mu_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_n & \cdots & \mu_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \tag{2.2}$$

for $n = 0, 1, \cdots, K$, we can associate to the moment functional μ a sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials $(p_n)_{n=0}^K$, p_n of degree n, using the formula

`fdpo`
$$p_n(x) = \frac{1}{\Theta_{n-1}} \det \begin{pmatrix} \mu_0 & \mu_1 & \cdots & \mu_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mu_{n-1} & \mu_n & \cdots & \mu_{2n-1} \\ 1 & x & \cdots & x^n \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq n \leq K, \quad p_0 = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

The polynomials p_n, $0 \leq n \leq K$, satisfy that $B(p_n, x^m) = 0$, for $0 \leq m < n$ and $B(p_n, x^n) \neq 0$. Conversely, any polynomial q of degree n, $0 \leq n \leq N$, satisfying $B(q, x^m) = 0$, for $0 \leq m < n$, is equal to p_n up to a multiplicative constant: $q(x) = ap_n(x)$.

The moment funcional μ can be represented by a positive measure with infinitely many points in its support if and only if $\Theta_n > 0$, for $n = 0, 1, \cdots$, and then the associated bilinear form (2.1) is an inner product ($B(p, p) > 0$ for all polynomial $p \neq 0$).

Favard's Theorem establishes that a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of polynomials, p_n of degree n, is orthogonal with respect to a moment functional if and only if it satisfies a three term recurrence relation of the form ($p_{-1} = 0$)

$$xp_n(x) = a_n p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_n(x) + c_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $(a_n)_n$, $(b_n)_n$ and $(c_n)_n$ are sequences of real numbers with $a_n c_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$. If, in addition, $a_n c_n > 0$, $n \geq 1$, then the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to a moment functional which can be represented by a positive measure, and conversely.

The kind of transformation which consists in multiplying a moment functional μ by a polynomial r is called a Christoffel transform. The new moment functional $r\mu$ is defined by $\langle r\mu, p\rangle = \langle \mu, rp\rangle$. It has a long tradition in the context of orthogonal polynomials: it goes back a century and a half ago when E.B. Christoffel (see [5] and also [36]) studied it for the particular case $r(x) = x$.

Given a moment functional μ and a polynomial $r(x) = (x - a_1)\cdots(x - a_m)$, we can give, using [36], Th. 2.5, a necessary and sufficient condition for the moment functional $r\mu$ to have a sequence of orthogonal polynomials. Indeed, write $(p_n)_{n=0}^N$ (N finite or infinite) for the orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ and Λ_n for the square matrix

`mata0`
$$\Lambda_n = (p_{n+l}(a_i))_{i=1,\cdots,m, l=0,\cdots,m-1}, \quad n \leq N - m + 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the moment functional $r\mu$ has a sequence $(q_n)_{n=0}^K$, $K \leq N - m + 1$, of orthogonal polynomials if and only if $\det(\Lambda_n) \neq 0$ for $n = 0, \cdots, K$.

For a real number λ, the moment functional $\mu(x + \lambda)$ is defined in the usual way $\langle \mu(x + \lambda), p\rangle = \langle \mu, p(x - \lambda)\rangle$. Hence, if $(p_n)_n$ are orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ then $(p_n(x + \lambda))_n$ are orthogonal with respect to $\mu(x + \lambda)$.

We will use the following Lemma to construct orthogonal polynomials with respect to a measure together with a Dirac delta (for related results see [16], [37]).

`addel` **Lemma 2.1.** *From a measure ν and a real number λ, we define the measure μ by $\mu = (x - \lambda)\nu$. Assume that we have a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ and write $\alpha_n = \int p_n d\nu$. For a given real number M we write*

$\tilde{\rho} = \nu + M\delta_\lambda$ and define the numbers

`hk2`
$$\beta_n = -\frac{\alpha_n + Mp_n(\lambda)}{\alpha_{n-1} + Mp_{n-1}(\lambda)}, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.5}$$

(we implicitly assume that $\alpha_{n-1} + Mp_{n-1}(\lambda) \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$). Then the polynomials defined by $q_0 = 1$ and $q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}$, are orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{\rho}$.

Proof. We have to prove that $\int (x-\lambda)^j q_n d\tilde{\rho} = 0$, $j = 0, \cdots, n-1$ and $\int (x-\lambda)^n q_n d\tilde{\rho} \neq 0$.

From the orthogonality of $(p_n)_n$ with respect to $\mu = (x-\lambda)\nu$ and the definition of $\tilde{\rho}$ we automatically get for $j = 1, \cdots, n-1$

$$\int (x-\lambda)^j q_n d\tilde{\rho} = \int (x-\lambda)^{j-1} q_n d\mu = 0,$$

whatever the numbers β_n, $n \geq 1$, are. For $j = 0$, using (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int q_n d\tilde{\rho} &= \int (p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}) d\nu + Mp_n(\lambda) + \beta_n Mp_{n-1}(\lambda) \\ &= \alpha_n + \beta_n \alpha_{n-1} + Mp_n(\lambda) + \beta_n Mp_{n-1}(\lambda) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $j = n$ we have $\int (x-\lambda)^n q_n d\tilde{\rho} = \beta_n \int (x-\lambda)^{n-1} p_{n-1} d\mu \neq 0$. ☐

We will need the following technical Lemma.

`nlp` **Lemma 2.2.** *Let u be a real number. We consider the polynomials $s_{0,u} = 1$ and for $j \geq 1$*

`defsj`
$$s_{j,u}(x) = (-1)^j \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} [x + i(u-i)]. \tag{2.6}$$

Then for $j \geq 0$, $s_{j,u}(x(x-u)) = (-x)_j (x-u)_j$.

Proof. If we expand $(-x)_j(x-u)_j$, we have

$$(-x)_j(x-u)_j = (-1)^j x \cdots (x-j+1)(x-u) \cdots (x-u+j-1).$$

Multiplying the $(i+1)$-th and the $(j+i+1)$-th factors in the product above $(0 \leq i \leq j-1)$, we get

$$(x-i)(x-u+i) = x(x-u) + i(u-i).$$

This gives for $(-x)_j(x-u)_j$ the formula

`betjac3`
$$(-x)_j(x-u)_j = (-1)^j \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} [x(x-u) + i(u-i)] = s_{j,u}(x(x-u)). \tag{2.7}$$

☐

3. The method and its main ingredient

In this Section, we introduce our method and its main ingredient. Although in this paper we will apply it to difference and differential operators and some of the classical discrete and classical families of orthogonal polynomials, the method also works with q-difference operators and the corresponding q-classical polynomials. This is the reason why we introduce the method in an abstract setting.

The starting point is a sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$, p_n of degree n, and an algebra of operators $\mathcal{A}$ acting in the linear space of polynomials. In addition, we assume that the polynomials p_n, $n \geq 0$, are eigenfunctions of certain operator $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$. We write $(\theta_n)_n$ for the corresponding eigenvalues, so that $D_p(p_n) = \theta_n p_n$, $n \geq 0$.

Given a sequence of numbers $(\beta_n)_n$, we define a new sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$ and

`qncc`

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.1}$$

Assuming certain structure for the sequence $(\beta_n)_n$, our procedure provides a new operator $D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ for which the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are eigenfunctions. The structure for the sequence $(\beta_n)_n$ will be determined by the main concept involved in our method: $\mathcal{D}$-operators.

We have two different types of $\mathcal{D}$-operators, depending on whether the sequence of eigenvalues $(\theta_n)_n$ is linear in n or not.

Given a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, a $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 1 associated to the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is defined as follows. We first consider the operator $\zeta : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ defined by linearity from $\zeta(p_n) = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1}$. Using that $\operatorname{dgr}(\zeta(p)) < \operatorname{dgr}(p)$ for all polynomial p, we next define the operator $\mathcal{D}$ by

`defTo`

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{D} : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P} \\ \mathcal{D}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta^j(p). \end{gathered} \tag{3.2}$$

We then say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

In the next Sections, we will display a $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 1 for Charlier and Laguerre polynomials and two $\mathcal{D}$-operators of type 1 for Meixner and Krawtchouk polynomials (see Lemmas 4.1, 5.1, 6.1 and 8.1).

Once we have introduced the main concept of $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 1 defined by the sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, we are ready to go on with our method. The estructure mentioned above for the sequence $(\beta_n)_n$ (which defines the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (3.1)) is then $\beta_n = \varepsilon_n \dfrac{P(\theta_n)}{P(\theta_{n-1})}$, where P is any arbitrary polynomial. The details of the method are included in the following Lemma. The Lemma is an elementary but powerful result: its proof is just an easy computation, but it provides higher order differential, difference or q-difference operators for a large class of polynomials (some of which turn out to be orthogonal with respect to a measure). For the case of differential operators, these polynomials include the Krall-Laguerre-Koornwinder families orthogonal with respect to the measures (1.17).

`fl1v` **Lemma 3.1.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $(p_n)_n$ be, respectively, an algebra of operators acting in the linear space of polynomials, and a sequence of polynomials, p_n of degree n. We assume that $(p_n)_n$ are eigenfunctions of an operator $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, there exist numbers θ_n, $n \geq 0$, such that $D_p(p_n) = \theta_n p_n$, $n \geq 0$. We also have a sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ which defines a $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 1 for $(p_n)_n$ and $\mathcal{A}$ (see (3.2)). For an arbitrary polynomial P_2 such that $P_2(\theta_n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, we define the sequences of numbers $(\gamma_n)_n$ and $(\lambda_n)_n$ by*

$$\gamma_{n+1} = P_2(\theta_n), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{3.3}$$

`defjg`

$$\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1} = \gamma_n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.4}$$

and assume that there exists a polynomial P_1 *such that* $\lambda_n = P_1(\theta_n)$*. We finally define the sequence of polynomials* $(q_n)_n$ *by* $q_0 = 1$ *and*

defqng

$$q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.5}$$

where the numbers β_n*,* $n \geq 0$*, are given by*

defbetng

$$\beta_n = \varepsilon_n \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.6}$$

Then $D_q(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$ *where the operator* D_q *is defined by*

defD

$$D_q = P_1(D_p) + \mathcal{D}P_2(D_p). \tag{3.7}$$

Moreover $D_q \in \mathcal{A}$*.*

Proof. Since $D_p(p_n) = \theta_n p_n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(D_p)(p_n) &= P_1(\theta_n)p_n = \lambda_n p_n, \\ P_2(D_p)(p_n) &= P_2(\theta_n)p_n = \gamma_{n+1}p_n. \end{aligned}$$

The definition of D_q (3.7) then gives

$$D_q(p_n) = \lambda_n p_n + \gamma_{n+1}\mathcal{D}(p_n).$$

Using the definition of the $\mathcal{D}$-operator $\mathcal{D}$ (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(p_n) &= \lambda_n p_n + \gamma_{n+1}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\zeta^j(p_n) \\ &= \lambda_n p_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\gamma_{n+1}(-1)^{j+1}\varepsilon_n\cdots\varepsilon_{n-j+1}p_{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the definition of the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (3.5), we get

eq1

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(q_n) &= D_q(p_n) + \beta_n D_q(p_{n-1}) \\ &= \lambda_n p_n + \gamma_{n+1}\varepsilon_n p_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n}\gamma_{n+1}(-1)^{j+1}\varepsilon_n\cdots\varepsilon_{n-j+1}p_{n-j} \\ &\qquad + \beta_n\lambda_{n-1}p_{n-1} + \beta_n\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\gamma_n(-1)^{j+1}\varepsilon_{n-1}\cdots\varepsilon_{n-j}p_{n-1-j} \\ &= \lambda_n p_n + (\beta_n\lambda_{n-1} + \gamma_{n+1}\varepsilon_n)p_{n-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{n}a_{n,j}p_{n-j}, \qquad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_{n,j} = (-1)^{j+1}(\gamma_{n+1}\varepsilon_n\cdots\varepsilon_{n-j+1} - \beta_n\gamma_n\varepsilon_{n-1}\cdots\varepsilon_{n-j+1}), \quad 2 \leq j \leq n.$$

Using the definition of λ_n and β_n ((3.4) and (3.6)), we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n\lambda_{n-1} + \gamma_{n+1}\varepsilon_n &= \lambda_n\beta_n, \\ a_{n,j} &= 0, \quad 2 \leq j \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

From where (3.8) gives that $D_q(q_n) = \lambda_n p_n + \lambda_n\beta_n p_{n-1} = \lambda_n q_n$.

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we have that $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$, and then $D_q \in \mathcal{A}$ as well. ☐

Actually, a slight modification of the Lemma provides a huge family of operators in $\mathcal{A}$ for which the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are eigenfunctions. Indeed, consider any polynomial G for which there exists a polynomial P_1 satisfying that $P_1(\theta_n)-P_1(\theta_{n-1}) = G(\theta_{n-1})P_2(\theta_{n-1})$ (when the eigenvalues θ_n are linear in n, that polynomial P_1 always exists for each polynomial G). Proceeding as in the previous Lemma, it is easy to see that the operator $D_{q,G}$ defined by $D_{q,G} = P_1(D_p) + G(D_p)\mathcal{D}P_2(D_p)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ and satisfies $D_{q,G}(q_n) = P_1(\theta_n)q_n$.

We will apply the version of our method established in Lemma 3.1 when the eigenvalues $(\theta_n)_n$ of the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ with respect to the operator D_p are linear in n. It is easy to see that if $(\theta_n)_n$ are, say, quadratic in n, the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1 can not fulfil. Indeed, if γ_{n+1} is a polynomial in θ_n and θ_n are quadratic in n, then γ_n is a polynomial in n of even degree, and the sequence λ_n, defined by $\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1} = \gamma_n$, is a polynomial in n of odd degree. Hence, it can not be a polynomial in the quadratic sequence θ_n.

To fix this situation we need to introduce a modified version of the $\mathcal{D}$-operator defined in (3.2). Two sequences of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ and $(\sigma_n)_n$ are now the starting point. A $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 2 associated to the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and the sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$ is defined as follows. As before we first consider the operator $\zeta : \mathbb{P} \to \mathbb{P}$ defined by linearity from $\zeta(p_n) = \varepsilon_n p_{n-1}$. We next define the operator $\mathcal{D}$ again by linearity from

`defTo2` (3.9)
$$\mathcal{D}(p_n) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_{n+1}p_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}\sigma_{n+1-j}\zeta^j(p_n). \tag{3.9}$$

We then say that $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator if $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{A}$.

When the sequence $(\sigma_n)_n$ is constant, a $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 2 reduces to a $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 1 (up to constants).

In Lemmas 7.2 and 8.7, we display four $\mathcal{D}$-operators of type 2 for the Hahn polynomials and two $\mathcal{D}$-operators of type 2 for the Jacobi polynomials, respectively.

We can now establish the version of our method for $\mathcal{D}$-operators of type 2.

`fl2v` **Lemma 3.2.** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $(p_n)_n$ be, respectively, an algebra of operators acting in the linear space of polynomials, and a sequence of polynomials, p_n of degree n. We assume that $(p_n)_n$ are eigenfunctions of an operator $D_p \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, there exist numbers θ_n, $n \geq 0$, such that $D_p(p_n) = \theta_n p_n$, $n \geq 0$. We also have two sequences of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ and $(\sigma_n)_n$ which define a $\mathcal{D}$-operator of type 2 for $(p_n)_n$ and $\mathcal{A}$ (see (3.9)). For an arbitrary polynomial P_2 such that $P_2(\theta_n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, we define the sequences of numbers $(\gamma_n)_n$ and $(\lambda_n)_n$ by*

$$\gamma_{n+1} = P_2(\theta_n), \quad n \geq 0, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1} = \sigma_n\gamma_n, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.11}$$

and assume that there exists a polynomial P_1 such that $\lambda_{n+1} + \lambda_n = P_1(\theta_n)$. We finally define the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$ and

`defqng2`
$$q_n = p_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{3.12}$$

where the numbers β_n, $n \geq 0$, are given by

`defbetng2`
$$\beta_n = \varepsilon_n\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.13}$$

Then $D_q(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$ *where the operator* D_q *is defined by*

defD2

$$D_q = \frac{1}{2}P_1(D_p) + \mathcal{D}P_2(D_p). \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover $D_q \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The Lemma can be proved proceeding in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

We remark that if an operator $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the polynomials $(p_n)_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ then it is also a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the sequence of polynomials $\tilde{p}_n = a_n p_n$, whatever the real numbers $a_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, are. Indeed, it is straightforward to see that if $\mathcal{D}$ is defined from $(p_n)_n$ by the sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ then $\mathcal{D}$ is the defined from $(\tilde{p}_n)_n$ by the sequence

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}\varepsilon_n.$$

Using this fact, we can associate $\mathcal{D}$-operators to a measure having orthogonal polynomials. Indeed, we say that an operator $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for a measure μ if it is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for a sequence $(p_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials with respect to μ. We point out that the sequence of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$, which defines the $\mathcal{D}$-operator from the sequence of polynomials $(p_n)_n$, depends on this sequence of polynomials. In other words, given a measure μ the corresponding set of $\mathcal{D}$-operators only depends on the measure μ and it is independent of the orthogonal polynomials normalization, but the associated sequences of numbers $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ depend on this normalization.

4. Charlier case

For $a \neq 0$, we write $(c_n^a)_n$ for the sequence of Charlier polynomials defined by

defchp

$$c_n^a(x) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-a)^{n-j}\binom{n}{j}\binom{x}{j}j! \tag{4.1}$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [4], pp. 170-1; see also [23], pp, 247-9 or [35], ch. 2). The Charlier polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the measure

chw

$$\rho_a = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty}\frac{a^x}{x!}\delta_x, \quad a \neq 0. \tag{4.2}$$

The measure ρ_a is positive only when $a > 0$.

The three term recurrence formula for $(c_n^a)_n$ is $(c_{-1}^a = 0)$

repnch

$$xc_n = (n+1)c_{n+1} + (n+a)c_n + ac_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{4.3}$$

(to simplify the notation we remove some parameters in some formulas). They are eigenfunctions of the following second order difference operator

sodech

$$D_a = x\mathfrak{s}_{-1} - (x+a)\mathfrak{s}_0 + a\mathfrak{s}_1, \qquad D_a(c_n^a) = -nc_n^a, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{4.4}$$

They also satisfy the simple difference relation

sdch

$$\Delta(c_n) = c_{n-1}. \tag{4.5}$$

We first identify a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Charlier polynomials.

lTch

Lemma 4.1. *For* $a \neq 0$*, the operator* $\mathcal{D}$ *defined by (3.2) from the sequence* $\varepsilon_n = 1$*,* $n \geq 0$*, is a* $\mathcal{D}$*-operator for the Charlier polynomials* $(c_n^a)_n$ *(4.1) and the algebra* $\mathcal{A}$ *(1.10) of difference operators with polynomial coefficients. More precisely* $\mathcal{D} = \nabla$*.*

Proof. Indeed, since $\Delta(c_n^a) = c_{n-1}^a$ (4.5), we have that $\zeta = \Delta$. Taking into account that $\Delta\binom{x}{m} = \binom{x}{m-1}$, $m \geq 1$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}\binom{x}{m} &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1}\Delta^j\binom{x}{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{j+1}\binom{x}{m-j} \\ &= \binom{x}{m} - \sum_{j=0}^{m}(-1)^j\binom{x}{m-j} = \binom{x}{m} - \binom{x-1}{m}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives $\mathcal{D} = \nabla \in \mathcal{A}$.

$\square$

Lemma 3.1 and the $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Charlier polynomials found in the previous Lemma, automatically produce the large clase of polynomials satisfying higher order difference equations displayed in Theorem 1.1 in the Introduction. We are now ready to prove it.

Proof. Indeed, the theorem is a straightforward consequence of the Lemma 3.1. We have just to identify who the main characters are in this example.

If we write $\varepsilon_n = 1$, Lemma 4.1 gives that the sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_n$ defines a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Charlier polynomials $(c_n^a)_n$ and that $\mathcal{D} = \nabla$.

The eigenvalues of $(c_n^a)_n$ with respect to D_a are $\theta_n = -n$, hence the definition of $(\gamma_n)_n$, $(\lambda_n)_n$ and the relationships between the polynomials P_1 and P_2 automatically give

$$\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1} = \gamma_n.$$

The Lemma 3.1 now shows that the polynomials q_n, $n \geq 0$, defined by (1.14) are eigenfunctions of the difference operator $D = P_1(D_a) + \nabla P_2(D_a)$ with eigenvalues λ_n.

Since P_1 and P_2 are polynomials of degrees $k+1$ and k, respectively, and D_a has genre $(-1, 1)$, the operators $D(P_1)$ and $D(P_2)$ have order $2k+2$ and $2k$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$ and $(-k, k)$, respectively. Write now $f_{-1} = x$ and $f_1 = a$ for the coefficients of $\mathfrak{s}_{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_1$ in D_a, and u_1, u_2 for the leading coefficients of the polynomials P_1 and P_2, respectively. A straightforward computation gives that the coefficients of $\mathfrak{s}_{-k-1}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{k+1}$ in the operator D are $f_{-1}(x-1)\cdots f_{-1}(x-k)(u_1 f_{-1}(x) - u_2)$ and $u_1 f_1(x)\cdots f_1(x+k)$, respectively. We can see that both are different to zero. This shows that the operator D has order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$.

$\square$

We next find some particular choices of P_2 for which the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (1.14) is orthogonal with respect to a measure.

For k a positive integer, let $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a}$ be the measure defined by ($a \neq 0$)

`tchw` (4.6)
$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\rho}_{k,a} &= (x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rho_a(x+k+1) \\ &= (-1)^k k!\delta_{-k-1} + \sum_{x=0}^{\infty}\frac{a^{x+k+1}}{(x+k+1)x!}\delta_x.\end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation we will sometimes remove the dependence of a and k and write $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}_{k,a}$.

In order to find the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a}$ we need the following Lemma.

`chxx` **Lemma 4.2.** *For a positive integer k and a non-null real number a, we have*

`chx+k+1`
$$\langle \tilde\rho_{k,a}, c_n^a\rangle = (-1)^n e^a k! c_k^{-a}(-n-1). \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n &= \langle \tilde\rho_{k,a}, c_n^a\rangle, \quad n\geq 0,\\ \zeta_n &= (-1)^n k! e^a c_k^{-a}(-n-1), \quad n\geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

The three term recurrence relation (4.3) for $(c_n^a)_n$ gives that

`mecc1a`
$$\xi_1 + (a+k+1)\xi_0 - a^{k+1}e^a = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

`mecc1`
$$(n+1)\xi_{n+1} + (n+a+k+1)\xi_n + a\xi_{n-1} = 0, \quad n\geq 1. \tag{4.9}$$

We now prove that also

`mecc2a`
$$\zeta_1 + (a+k+1)\zeta_0 - a^{k+1}e^a = 0, \tag{4.10}$$

`mecc2`
$$(n+1)\zeta_{n+1} + (n+a+k+1)\zeta_n + a\zeta_{n-1} = 0, \quad n\geq 1. \tag{4.11}$$

Indeed, for $n\geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&(n+1)\zeta_{n+1} + (a+n+k+1)\zeta_n + a\zeta_{n-1} = (-1)^{n+1}k!e^a\\ &\qquad\times\left((n+1)c_k^{-a}(-n-2) - (n+a+k+1)c_k^{-a}(-n-1) + ac_k^{-a}(-n)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then, by writing $x=-n-1$ in the second order difference equation (4.4) for the Charlier polynomials $(c_k^{-a})_k$, we find

$$(n+1)\zeta_{n+1} + (a+n+k+1)\zeta_n + a\zeta_{n-1} = 0.$$

Proceeding in a similar way for $n=0$, and using that $c_k^{-a}(0)=a^k$, we get (4.10).

Since the sequences $(\xi_n)_n$ and $(\zeta_n)_n$ satisfy the same recurrence relation, it is enough to prove that $\xi_0=\zeta_0$. Write then $\eta_0 = \langle \rho_a(x+1), 1\rangle = e^a$, and

$$\eta_k = \langle \rho_a(x+k+1), (x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rangle, \quad k\geq 1.$$

From the definition of $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ we have $\eta_k=\xi_0$. Using that $x\rho_a(x) = a\rho_a(x-1)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_k &= \langle \rho_a(x+k+1), (x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rangle = \langle \rho_a(x+k), x(x+1)\cdots(x+k-1)\rangle\\ &= \langle a\rho_a(x+k-1), (x+1)\cdots(x+k-1)\rangle - k\langle \rho_a(x+k), (x+1)\cdots(x+k-1)\rangle\\ &= a^k\langle\rho_a, 1\rangle - k\eta_{k-1} = a^k e^a - k\eta_{k-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This means that the sequence η_k, $k\geq 1$, is characterized by the recurrence relation

$$\eta_k + k\eta_{k-1} = a^k e^a, \quad k\geq 1,$$

with initial condition $\eta_0 = e^a$. The proof will finish if we prove that also the sequence $(e^a k! c_k^{-a}(-1))_k$ satisfies the same recursion. But, by applying $k-1$ times the three term recurrence relation for the Charlier polynomials $(c_k^{-a})_k$ (see (4.3)), we get

$$c_k^{-a}(-1) + c_{k-1}^{-a}(-1) = \frac{a}{k}(c_{k-1}^{-a}(-1) + c_{k-2}^{-a}(-1)) = \frac{a^{k-1}}{k!}(c_1^{-a}(-1) + c_0^{-a}(-1)) = \frac{a^k}{k!}.$$

□

We are now ready to prove that the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ (4.6) are a particular case of Theorem 1.1.

`lm51` **Theorem 4.3.** *For $k \geq 1$, let a be a non-null real number satisfying that $c_k^{-a}(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$, where c_k^{-a}, $k \geq 1$, are Charlier polynomials (see (4.1)). We define the sequences of numbers $(\lambda_n)_n$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ by*

`eigchk`
$$\lambda_n = -c_{k+1}^{-a}(-n), \tag{4.12}$$

`defdelch`
$$\gamma_n = c_k^{-a}(-n), \tag{4.13}$$

`defbetch`
$$\beta_n = \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{4.14}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$, and

`defqch`
$$q_n(x) = c_n^a(x) + \beta_n c_{n-1}^a(x), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{4.15}$$

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to the measure $\tilde{\rho}$ (4.6). Moreover, consider the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$ defined by

$$D = P_1(D_a) + \nabla P_2(D_a),$$

where D_a is the second order difference operator for the Charlier polynomials (4.4) and P_1, P_2 are the polynomials of degrees $k+1$ and k, respectively, defined by

`defp1ch`
$$P_1(x) = -c_{k+1}^{-a}(x), \tag{4.16}$$

`defp2ch`
$$P_2(x) = c_k^{-a}(x-1). \tag{4.17}$$

Then $D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$.

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 2.1, we have $\lambda = -k-1$, $\nu = \tilde{\rho}_{k,a}$, $\mu = a^{k+1}\rho_a$, $p_n = c_n^a$ and $M = 0$. Lemma 4.2 gives that $\alpha_n = \langle \nu, p_n \rangle = (-1)^n e^a k! c_k^{-a}(-n-1)$. The orthogonality of the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ with respect to $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a}$ is now a consequence of Lemma 2.1 and (4.13), (4.14).

The second part of the Theorem is a straightforward consequence of the Theorem 1.1. We have just to check that $P_2(x) = P_1(x-1) - P_1(x)$:

$$P_1(x-1) - P_1(x) = c_{k+1}^{-a}(x) - c_{k+1}^{-a}(x-1) = \Delta(c_{k+1}^{-a}(x-1)) = c_k^{-a}(x-1) = P_2(x).$$

□

Except for an appropriate choice of the polynomial P_2 (as before), the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (1.14) in Theorem 1.1 seem not to be orthogonal with respect to a measure. Anyway, in a subsequent paper [10], we prove that they enjoy certain orthogonality property which implies that they satisfy a higher order recurrence relation of the form

$$(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+k_0+1)q_n(x) = \sum_{j=-k_0-1}^{k_0+1} a_{n,j}q_{n+j}(x),$$

where $k_0 \leq \deg(P_2)$.

Conjecture 2 in the Introduction for the Charlier weight ρ_a and the finite set $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ can be deduced as a Corollary of the previous Theorem.

`jodch` **Corollary 4.4.** *For a positive integer k, write $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_F(x) = \prod_{j\in F}(x-j)$. Assume that $a \neq 0$ and that $c_k^{-a}(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$. Then, the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_a$ are common eigenfunctions of a $(2k+2)$-order difference operator of genre $(-k-1, k+1)$, where the measure ρ_a is the Charlier measure (4.2).*

Proof. The proof is an easy consequence of the previous Theorem, taking into account that the measure $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_a$ is equal to the measure $\tilde\rho$ shifted by $k+1$: $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_a = \tilde\rho(x-k-1)$. □

`remch` *Remark* 4.5. The most interesting case in the previous Theorems is when the new measures $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ (4.6) in Theorem 4.3 and $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_a$ in Corollary 4.4 are positive. This obviously happens when $a>0$ and k is even. In that case, the hypothesis $c_k^{-a}(-n)\neq 0$, $n\geq 1$, in Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 always fulfil. Indeed, by definition of the measure $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ (4.6) we have that

$$\tilde\rho_{k,a} = (x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rho_a(x+k+1)$$

where $\rho_a(x+k+1)$ is the Charlier weight (4.2) shifted by $-k-1$.

That means that the measure $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ is a Christoffel transform of the measure $\rho_a(x+k+1)$. Write Λ_n, $n\geq 0$, for the matrices

`condepch`
$$\Lambda_n = (c^a_{n+j-1}(i))_{i,j=1,\cdots,k}. \tag{4.18}$$

Hence, according to (2.4) in the Preliminaries, the measure $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ has a sequence $(q_n)_n$ of orthogonal polynomials if and only if $\det\Lambda_n\neq 0$, $n\geq 0$. Now for $a>0$ and k even, the measure $\tilde\rho_{k,a}$ is positive with infinitely many points in its support. So it always has a sequence of orthogonal polynomials, and then $\det\Lambda_n\neq 0$, $n\geq 0$. In [9] we have found a very nice closed-form expression for the determinant of the matrices Λ_n (4.18):

`chconjdet`
$$\det(\Lambda_n) = (-1)^{kn}a^{(n-1)k}\left(\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{j!}{(n+j-1)!}\right)c_k^{-a}(-n). \tag{4.19}$$

We can then conclude that the hypothesis $c_k^{-a}(-n)\neq 0$, $n\geq 1$, in Theorem 4.3 and Corollary 4.4 always fulfil for $a>0$ and k even.

There is a similar formula to (4.19) for each of the examples studied in Sections 5, 6 and 7 of this paper. Actually, they seem to be particular cases of a surprising symmetry for certain Casorati determinants associated to the classical discrete orthogonal polynomials (see [9]).

5. Meixner case

For $a\neq 0,1$ we write $(m_n^{a,c})_n$ for the sequence of Meixner polynomials defined by

`defmep`
$$m_n^{a,c}(x) = (-1)^n\sum_{j=0}^n a^{-j}\binom{x}{j}\binom{-x-c}{n-j} \tag{5.1}$$

(we have taken a slightly different normalization from the one used in [4], pp. 175-7, from where the next formulas can be easily derived; see also [23], pp, 234-7 or [35], ch. 2). Meixner polynomials are eigenfunctions of the following second order difference operator

`sodeme`
(5.2)
$$D_{a,c} = x\mathfrak{s}_{-1}-[(1+a)x+ac]\mathfrak{s}_0+a(x+c)\mathfrak{s}_1, \qquad D_{a,c}(m_n^{a,c}) = n(a-1)m_n^{a,c}, \quad n\geq 0.$$

When $a\neq 0,1$, they satisfy the following three term recurrence formula ($m_{-1}=0$)

`trme`
$$xm_n = \frac{a(n+1)}{a-1}m_{n+1} - \frac{(a+1)n+ac}{a-1}m_n + \frac{n+c-1}{a-1}m_{n-1}, \quad n\geq 0 \tag{5.3}$$

(to simplify the notation we remove the parameters in some formulas). Hence, for $a \neq 0, 1$ and $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$, they are always orthogonal with respect to a moment functional $\rho_{a,c}$, which we normalize by taking $\langle \rho_{a,c}, 1\rangle = \Gamma(c)$. For $0 < |a| < 1$ and $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$, we have

`mew`
$$\rho_{a,c} = (1-a)^c \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x \Gamma(x+c)}{x!} \delta_x. \tag{5.4}$$

The moment functional $\rho_{a,c}$ can be represented by a positive measure only when $0 < a < 1$ and $c > 0$.

Meixner polynomials satisfy the following identities

`sdm`
$$\Delta(m_n^{a,c}) = \frac{a-1}{a} m_{n-1}^{a,c+1}, \tag{5.5}$$

`sdm2`
$$m_n^{a,c+1}(x-1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a^{-j} m_{n-j}^{a,c}(x), \tag{5.6}$$

`sdm2b`
$$m_n^{a,c+1}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} m_{n-j}^{a,c}(x), \tag{5.7}$$

`sdm3`
$$\frac{1}{a} m_{n-1}^{a,c}(x) = m_n^{a,c}(x) - m_n^{a,c-1}(x+1). \tag{5.8}$$

For Meixner polynomials we have found two different $\mathcal{D}$-operators.

`lTme` **Lemma 5.1.** *For $a \neq 0, 1$, consider the Meixner polynomials $(m_n^{a,c})_n$ (5.1). Then, the operators $\mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, defined by (3.2) from the sequences ($n \geq 0$)*

`veme1`
$$\varepsilon_{n,1} = -1, \tag{5.9}$$

`veme2`
$$\varepsilon_{n,2} = -1/a, \tag{5.10}$$

are $\mathcal{D}$-operators for $(m_n^{a,c})_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (1.10) of difference operators with polynomial coefficients. More precisely

`dome1`
$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta, \tag{5.11}$$

`dome2`
$$\mathcal{D}_2 = \frac{1}{1-a} \nabla. \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. Indeed, the sequence (5.9) defines the $\mathcal{D}$-operator (3.2)

$$\mathcal{D}_1(m_n^{a,c}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{j+1} \zeta^j (m_n^{a,c}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{j+1} (-1)^j m_{n-j}^{a,c} = m_n^{a,c}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{n} m_{n-j}^{a,c}.$$

Using (5.7), (5.8) and (5.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1(m_n^{a,c}) &= m_n^{a,c}(x) - m_n^{a,c+1}(x) = m_n^{a,c}(x) - \frac{1}{a} m_{n-1}^{a,c+1}(x) - m_n^{a,c}(x+1) \\ &= \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta(m_n^{a,c}). \end{aligned}$$

The proof for $\mathcal{D}_2$ is similar and it is omitted.

□

Each one of the $\mathcal{D}$-operators displayed in the previous Lemma together with Lemma 3.1 gives rise to the corresponding class of polynomials satisfying higher order difference equations.

`th5.1me1` **Theorem 5.2.** *Let P_1 be a polynomial of degree $k+1$, $k \geq 1$, and write $P_2(x) = P_1(x+a-1) - P_1(x)$ (so that P_2 is a polynomial of degree k). We assume that $P_2(n(a-1)) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, and define the sequences of numbers*

$$\gamma_{n+1} = P_2(n(a-1)), \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$\lambda_n = P_1(n(a-1)), \quad n \geq 0,$$

`beme`
$$\beta_{n,1} = -\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n} \quad n \geq 1, \tag{5.13}$$

$$\beta_{n,2} = -\frac{1}{a}\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n} \quad n \geq 1, \tag{5.14}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $q_{0,i} = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$

`qnme`
$$q_{n,i}(x) = m_n^{a,c}(x) + \beta_{n,i} m_{n-1}^{a,c}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{5.15}$$

where $m_n^{a,c}$ is the Meixner polynomial defined by (5.1) ($a \neq 0, 1$). Consider the second order difference operator $D_{a,c}$ (5.2) with respect to which the Meixner polynomials are eigenfunctions. Write finally D_i, $i = 1, 2$, for the difference operators of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$

$$D_1 = P_1(D_{a,c}) + \frac{a}{1-a}\Delta P_2(D_{a,c}),$$

$$D_2 = P_1(D_{a,c}) + \frac{1}{1-a}\nabla P_2(D_{a,c}).$$

Then $D_i(q_{n,i}) = \lambda_n q_{n,i}$, $n \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. The theorem can be proved as Theorem 1.1 using Lemma 3.1 and the $\mathcal{D}$-operators in Lemma 5.1.

□

5.1. **Meixner I.** For a convenient choice of the polynomial P_1, the polynomials $(q_{n,1})_n$ in the previous Theorem turn out to be orthogonal with respect to a moment functional. Indeed, for k a positive integer, $a \neq 0, 1$ and $c \neq k+1, k, , k-1, \cdots$, we consider the moment functional

`tmew2`
$$\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c} = (x+c-1)\cdots(x+c-k)\rho_{a,c-k-1}. \tag{5.16}$$

For $0 < |a| < 1$ and $c \neq k+1, k, \cdots$ the moment funcional $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$ can be represented by the measure

`tmewb2`
$$\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c} = (1-a)^{c-k-1} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^x \Gamma(x+c)}{(x+c-k-1)x!}\delta_x. \tag{5.17}$$

To simplify the notation we will sometimes remove the dependence of a, c and k and write $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$.

The following Lemma will be needed to find the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$.

`lme1x` **Lemma 5.3.** *For $a \neq 0, 1$, $c \neq k+1, k, \cdots$ and $n \geq 0$, we have*

`me1x+k+1`
$$\langle \tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}, m_n^{a,c} \rangle = \frac{(-1)^k k! \Gamma(c-k-1) m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n-1)}{(1-a)^k}. \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. We proceed in an analogous way to Lemma 4.2. Write

$$\xi_n=\langle\tilde\rho_{k,a,c},m_n^{a,c}\rangle,\quad n\ge 0,\quad \xi_{-1}=\frac{\Gamma(c-1)}{(1-a)^k},$$

$$\zeta_n=\frac{(-1)^k k!\Gamma(c-k-1)m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n-1)}{(1-a)^k},\quad n\ge -1.$$

The three term recurrence relation (5.3) for $(m_n^{a,c})_n$ gives that

mecme1
$$a_n\xi_{n+1}+(b_n+c-k-1)\xi_n+c_n\xi_{n-1}=0,\quad n\ge 1, \tag{5.19}$$

where a_n, b_n and c_n are the recurrence coefficients of the Meixner polynomials $(m_n^{a,c})_n$. Using that $(x+c)\rho_{a,c}=\rho_{a,c+1}/(1-a)$, the normalization for the Meixner weight and the value of ξ_{-1}, we also have (5.19) for $n=0$.

We now prove that also

mecme2
$$a_n\zeta_{n+1}+(b_n+c-k-1)\zeta_n+c_n\zeta_{n-1}=0,\quad n\ge 0. \tag{5.20}$$

Taking into account the definition of ζ_n, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}a(n+1)m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n-2)-((a+1)(n+1)-k(1-a)+c-2))m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n-1)\\ +(n+c-1)m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n)=0,\quad n\ge 0.\end{aligned}$$

But this follows straightforwardly by writing $x=-n-1$ in the second order difference equation for the Meixner polynomials $(m_k^{1/a,-c+2})_k$ (see (5.2)).

Since the sequences $(\xi_n)_n$ and $(\zeta_n)_n$ satisfy the same recurrence relation ((5.19), (5.20)), it is enough to prove that $\xi_{-1}=\zeta_{-1}$ and $\xi_0=\zeta_0$.

A straightforward computation from (5.1) shows that $\xi_{-1}=\zeta_{-1}$.

In order to prove that also $\xi_0=\zeta_0$, we proceed as follows. Write

$$\eta_{k,c}=\langle\rho_{a,c-k-1},(x+c-1)\cdots(x+c-k)\rangle.$$

From the definition of $\tilde\rho_{k,a,c}$ we have $\eta_{k,c}=\xi_0$. Using that $(x+c)\rho_{a,c}=\rho_{a,c+1}/(1-a)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{k,c}&=\langle\rho_{a,c-k-1},(x+c-1)\cdots(x+c-k)\rangle\\ &=\frac{\langle\rho_{a,c-k},(x+c-2)\cdots(x+c-k)\rangle}{1-a}+k\langle\rho_{a,c-k-1},(x+c-2)\cdots(x+c-k)\rangle\\ &=\frac{\langle\rho_{a,c-1},1\rangle}{(1-a)^k}+k\eta_{k-1,c-1}=k\eta_{k-1,c-1}+\frac{\Gamma(c-1)}{(1-a)^k}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if we write

$$\tau_{k,c}=\zeta_0=\frac{(-1)^k k!\Gamma(c-k-1)m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-1)}{(1-a)^k},$$

we have using (5.5) and (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_{k,c}-k\tau_{k-1,c-1}&=\frac{(-1)^k k!\Gamma(c-k-1)}{(1-a)^k}(m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-1)+(1-a)m_{k-1}^{1/a,-c+3}(-1))\\ &=\frac{(-1)^k k!\Gamma(c-k-1)}{(1-a)^k}m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(0)=\frac{\Gamma(c-1)}{(1-a)^k}.\end{aligned}$$

Proceeding by induction on k it is now easy to prove that $\eta_{k,c}=\tau_{k,c}$, and then $\xi_0=\zeta_0$.

□

We are now ready to prove that the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$ (5.16) are a particular case of Theorem 5.2.

lm62 **Theorem 5.4.** *For $k \geq 1$, let a and c be real numbers satisfying that $a \neq 0, 1$, $c \neq k+1, k, k-1, \cdots$ and $m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$, where $m_k^{1/a,-c+2}$, $k \geq 1$, are Meixner polynomials (see (5.1)). We define the sequences of numbers $(\lambda_n)_n$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ by*

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{a-1} m_{k+1}^{1/a,-c+1}(-n),$$

defdelme2
$$\gamma_n = m_k^{1/a,-c+2}(-n), \tag{5.21}$$

defbetme2
$$\beta_n = -\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \tag{5.22}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$, and

defqme2
$$q_n(x) = m_n^{a,c}(x) + \beta_n m_{n-1}^{a,c}(x), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{5.23}$$

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to the moment functional $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$ (5.16). Moreover, consider the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$ defined by

$$D = P_1(D_{a,c}) + \frac{a}{1-a} \nabla P_2(D_{a,c}),$$

where $D_{a,c}$ is the second order difference operator for the Meixner polynomials (5.2) and P_1 and P_2 are the polynomials of degrees $k+1$ and k, respectively, defined by

$$P_1(x) = \frac{1}{a-1} m_{k+1}^{1/a,-c+1}\left(-\frac{x}{a-1}\right),$$

$$P_2(x) = m_k^{1/a,-c+2}\left(-\frac{x}{a-1} - 1\right).$$

Then $D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$.

Proof. The Theorem can be proved in an analogous way to Theorem 4.3. $\square$

Conjecture 1 in the Introduction for the Meixner weight $\rho_{a,c}$ and the finite set $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ can be deduced as a Corollary of the previous Theorem:

lm623 **Corollary 5.5.** *For a positive integer k, write $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}(x) = \prod_{j \in F}(x + c + j)$. Assume that $a \neq 0, 1$, $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$ and that*

$$m_k^{1/a,-c-k+1}(-n) \neq 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}\rho_{a,c}$ are common eigenfunctions of a $(2k+2)$-order difference operator of genre $(-k-1, k+1)$, where $\rho_{a,c}$ is the moment functional for the Meixner polynomials.

Proof. The proof is an easy consequence of the previous Theorem, taking into account that the measure $\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}\rho_{a,c}$ is equal to the measure $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c+k+1}$. $\square$

5.2. **Meixner II.** There is other choice of the polynomial P_1 for which the polynomials $(q_{n,2})_n$ in Theorem 5.2 are also orthogonal with respect to a moment functional. Indeed, for k a positive integer, $a \neq 0, 1$ and $c \neq k+1, k, \cdots$ let $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$ be the moment functional defined by

`tmew`

$$\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c} = (x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rho_{a,c-k-1}(x+k+1). \tag{5.24}$$

In particular, for $0 < |a| < 1$ and $c \neq k+1, k, \cdots$ the moment funcional $\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$ can be represented by the measure

`tmewb`

$$\tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c} = (1-a)^{c-k-1}\left((-1)^k k!\Gamma(c-k-1)\delta_{-k-1} + \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{x+k+1}\Gamma(x+c)}{(x+k+1)x!}\delta_x\right). \tag{5.25}$$

To simplify the notation we remove the dependence of a, c and k and write $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}$ (the proofs of the following theorems are similar to the ones in the previous Sections and are omitted).

Lemma 5.6. *For $a \neq 0, 1$, $c \neq k+1, k, \cdots$ and $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}_{k,a,c}, m_n^{a,c} \rangle = \frac{(-1)^k k!\Gamma(c-k-1) m_k^{a,-c+2}(-n-1)}{a^{n-k}(1-a)^k}.$$

`lm61`

Theorem 5.7. *For $k \geq 1$, let a and c be real numbers satisfying that $a \neq 0, 1$, $c \neq k+1, k, , k-1, \cdots$ and $m_k^{a,-c+2}(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 1$, where $m_k^{a,-c+2}$, $k \geq 1$, are Meixner polynomials (see (5.1)). We define the sequences of numbers $(\lambda_n)_n$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ by*

`eigmek`

$$\lambda_n = -\frac{a}{a-1} m_{k+1}^{a,-c+1}(-n), \tag{5.26}$$

`defdelme`

$$\gamma_n = m_k^{a,-c+2}(-n), \tag{5.27}$$

`defbetme`

$$\beta_n = -\frac{1}{a}\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \tag{5.28}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$, and

`defqme`

$$q_n(x) = m_n^{a,c}(x) + \beta_n m_{n-1}^{a,c}(x), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{5.29}$$

Then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to the moment functional $\tilde{\rho}$ (5.24). Moreover, consider the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$ defined by

$$D = P_1(D_{a,c}) + \frac{1}{1-a}\nabla P_2(D_{a,c}),$$

where $D_{a,c}$ is the second order difference operator for the Meixner polynomials (5.2) and P_1 and P_2 are the polynomials of degrees $k+1$ and k, respectively, defined by

`defp1me`

$$P_1(x) = -\frac{a}{a-1} m_{k+1}^{a,-c+1}\left(-\frac{x}{a-1}\right), \tag{5.30}$$

`defp2me`

$$P_2(x) = m_k^{a,-c+2}\left(-\frac{x}{a-1} - 1\right). \tag{5.31}$$

Then $D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$.

Conjecture 2 in the Introduction for the Meixner weight $\rho_{a,c}$ and the finite set $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ can be deduced as a Corollary of the previous Theorem:

`lm613` **Corollary 5.8.** *For a positive integer k, write $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_F(x) = \prod_{j\in F}(x - j)$. Assume that $a \neq 0, 1$, $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$ and that*

$$m_k^{a,-c-k+1}(-n) \neq 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then, the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_{a,c}$ are common eigenfunctions of a $(2k+2)$-order difference operator of genre $(-k-1, k+1)$, where $\rho_{a,c}$ is the moment functional for the Meixner polynomials $(m_n^{a,c})_n$.

Remark 5.9. Let us note that in some cases the measure $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_{a,c}$ can be positive even though the measure $\rho_{a,c}$ is not. Indeed, that it the case, for instance, when k is odd, $0 < a < 1$ and $-2j - 1 < c < -2j$, $j = 0, \cdots, (k-1)/2$, or when k is even, $0 < a < 1$ and $-2j < c < -2j - 1$, $j = 1, \cdots, k/2$.

6. Krawtchouk case

For $a \neq 0, -1$, we write $(k_n^{a,N})_n$ for the sequence of Krawtchouk polynomials defined by

`defkrp`
$$k_n^{a,N}(x) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n+j}\frac{(1+a)^{j-n}}{a^{j-n}}\frac{(-n)_j(-x)_j(N-n)_{n-j}}{j!}. \tag{6.1}$$

Krawtchouk polynomials are eigenfunctions of the following second order difference operator ($n \geq 0$)

`sodekr`
$$D_{a,N} = x\mathfrak{s}_{-1} - (x - a(x-N+1))\mathfrak{s}_0 - a(x-N+1)\mathfrak{s}_1, \qquad D_{a,N}(k_n^{a,N}) = -n(1+a)k_n^{a,N}. \tag{6.2}$$

For $a \neq 0, -1$ and $N \neq 1, 2, \cdots$, they are always orthogonal with respect to a moment functional $\rho_{a,N}$, which we normalize by taking $\langle \rho_{a,N}, 1\rangle = 1$. When N is a positive integer and $a > 0$, the first N polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the positive Krawtchouk measure

`krw`
$$\rho_{a,N} = \frac{\Gamma(N)}{(1+a)^{N-1}}\sum_{x=0}^{N-1}\frac{a^x}{\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x. \tag{6.3}$$

The structural formulas for $(k_n^{a,N})_n$ can be found in [35], pp. 30-53 (see also [23], pp, 204-211).

Proceeding as in the previous Sections, we have identified two $\mathcal{D}$-operators for Krawtchouk polynomials (the proof is omitted).

`lTkr` **Lemma 6.1.** *For $a \neq 0, -1$ and $N \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the Krawtchouk polynomials $(k_n^{a,N})_n$ (6.1). Then the operators $\mathcal{D}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, defined by (3.2) from the sequences ($n \geq 0$)*

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_{n,1} &= 1/(1+a),\\ \varepsilon_{n,2} &= -a/(1+a),\end{aligned}$$

are $\mathcal{D}$-operators for $(k_n^{a,N})_n$ and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (1.10) of difference operators with polynomial coefficients. More precisely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_1 &= \frac{1}{1+a}\nabla,\\ \mathcal{D}_2 &= -\frac{a}{1+a}\Delta.\end{aligned}$$

Each one of the $\mathcal{D}$-operators displayed in the previous Lemma together with Lemma 3.1 gives rise to the corresponding class of polynomials satisfying higher order difference equations. However, we only proceed with the first $\mathcal{D}$-operator because due to the symmetries of Krawtchouk polynomials, the examples generated from the second $\mathcal{D}$-operator are essentially the same: if we write $q^{(1)}_{n,a,N}$, $q^{(2)}_{n,a,N}$, respectively, for the polynomials defined by (6.5) below from the first and the second $\mathcal{D}$-operators above, it is not difficult to see (using that $k_n^{a,N}(x)=(-1)^n k_n^{1/a,N}(N-1-x)$) that

$$q^{(1)}_{n,a,N}(x)=(-1)^n q^{(2)}_{n,1/a,N}(-x+N-1)$$

(using the first $\mathcal{D}$-operator we will prove Conjecture 2 in the Introduction for the Krawtchouk polynomials, while using the second $\mathcal{D}$-operator one can similarly prove the equivalent Conjecture 2' in [8] for the Krawtchouk polynomials).

`th5.1kr` **Theorem 6.2.** *Let P_1 be a polynomial of degree $k+1$, $k\geq 1$, and write $P_2(x)=P_1(x-1-a)-P_1(x)$ (so that P_2 is a polynomial of degree k). We assume that $P_2(-n(1+a))\neq 0$, $n\geq 0$, and define the sequences of numbers*

$$\gamma_{n+1}=P_2(-n(1+a)),\quad n\geq 0,$$
$$\lambda_n=P_1(-n(1+a)),\quad n\geq 0,$$

`bekr`
$$\beta_n=\frac{1}{1+a}\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}\quad n\geq 1, \tag{6.4}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $q_0=1$, and for $n\geq 1$

`qnkr`
$$q_n(x)=k_n^{a,N}(x)+\beta_n k_{n-1}^{a,N}(x), \tag{6.5}$$

where $k_n^{a,N}$ is the Krawtchouk polynomial (6.1) ($a\neq 0,-1$). Consider the second order difference operator $D_{a,N}$ (6.2) with respect to which the Krawtchouk polynomials are eigenfunctions. Write finally D for the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1,k+1)$

$$D=P_1(D_{a,N})+\frac{1}{1+a}\nabla P_2(D_{a,N}).$$

Then $D(q_n)=\lambda_n q_n$, $n\geq 0$.

For a convenient choice of the polynomial P_1, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ in the previous Lemma turn out to be orthogonal with respect to a moment functional. Indeed, for k a positive integer, $a\neq 0,-1$ and $N\neq 0,-1,\cdots,-k$ let $\tilde\rho_{k,a,N}$ be the measure defined by

`tkrw`
$$\tilde\rho_{k,a,N}=(x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rho_{a,N+k+1}(x+k+1) \tag{6.6}$$

where $\rho_{a,N}$ is the orthogonalizing moment functional for the Krawtchouk polynomials $(k_n^{a,N})_n$. For N a positive integer, we have that

`tkr2`
$$\tilde\rho_{k,a,N}=\frac{1}{(1+a)^{N+k}}\left((-1)^k k!\delta_{-k-1}+\sum_{x=0}^{N-1}\frac{\Gamma(N+k+1)a^{x+k+1}}{(x+k+1)\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x\right). \tag{6.7}$$

To simplify the notation we remove the dependence of a, N and k and write $\tilde\rho=\tilde\rho_{k,a,N}$.

The proofs of the following theorems are similar to the ones in the previous Sections and are omitted.

Lemma 6.3. *For $k\geq 1$, $a\neq 0,-1$, $N\in\mathbb{R}$ and $n\geq 0$, we have*

$$\langle \tilde{\rho}_{k,a,N}, k_n^{a,N}\rangle = \frac{(-1)^n k! k_k^{a,-N}(-n-1)}{(1+a)^n}.$$

lmkr **Theorem 6.4.** *For $k\geq 1$, let a and N be real numbers satisfying $a\neq 0,-1$ and $k_k^{a,-N}(-n)\neq 0$, $n\geq 1$, where $k_k^{a,-N}$, $k\geq 1$, are Krawtchouk polynomials (see (6.1)). We define the sequences of numbers $(\lambda_n)_n$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ by*

eigkrk
$$\lambda_n = -k_{k+1}^{a,-N+1}(-n), \tag{6.8}$$

defdelkr
$$\gamma_n = k_k^{a,-N}(-n), \tag{6.9}$$

defbetkr
$$\beta_n = \frac{n}{1+a}\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \tag{6.10}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0=1$, and

defqkr
$$q_n(x) = k_n^{a,N}(x) + \beta_n k_{n-1}^{a,N}(x), \quad n\geq 1. \tag{6.11}$$

If N is not a positive integer, then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to the moment functional $\tilde{\rho}$ (6.6). If N is a positive integer, then the polynomials $(q_n)_{0\leq n\leq N-1}$ are orthogonal with respect to the measure $\tilde{\rho}$ (6.7). Moreover, consider the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1,k+1)$ defined by

$$D = P_1(D_{a,N}) + \frac{1}{1+a}\nabla P_2(D_{a,N}),$$

where $D_{a,N}$ is the second order difference operator for the Krawtchouk polynomials $(k_n^{a,N})_n$ (see 6.2), and P_1 and P_2 are the polynomials of degrees $k+1$ and k, respectively, defined by

defp1kr
$$P_1(x) = -k_{k+1}^{a,-N+1}\left(\frac{x}{1+a}\right), \tag{6.12}$$

defp2kr
$$P_2(x) = k_k^{a,-N}\left(\frac{x}{1+a}-1\right). \tag{6.13}$$

Then $D(q_n)=\lambda_n q_n$.

Conjecture 2 in the Introduction for the Krawtchouk weight $\rho_{a,N}$ and the finite set $F=\{1,2,\cdots,k\}$ can be deduced as a Corollary of the previous Theorem:

lmkr3 **Corollary 6.5.** *For a positive integer k, write $F=\{1,2,\cdots,k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_F(x)=\prod_{j\in F}(x-j)$. Assume that $a\neq 0,-1$ and $k_k^{a,-N-k-1}(-n)\neq 0$, $n\geq 1$. Then, the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the moment functional $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_{a,N}$ (6.6) are common eigenfunctions of a $(2k+2)$-order difference operator of genre $(-k-1,k+1)$, where $\rho_{a,N}$ is the moment functional for the Krawtchouk polynomials.*

7. Hahn case

For $\alpha+c-N\neq -1,-2,\cdots$ we write $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ for the sequence of monic Hahn polynomials defined by

defhap
$$h_n^{\alpha,c,N}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{(-n)_j(-x)_j(1-N+j)_{n-j}(c+j)_{n-j}}{(n+\alpha+c-N+j)_{n-j}j!} \tag{7.1}$$

(we have taken a slightly different normalization from the one used in [35], pp. 30-53, from where the next formulas can be easily derived; for more details see [23], pp, 204-211). Hahn polynomials can be defined using the hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$:

`defha3F2`

$$\begin{aligned} h_n^{\alpha,c,N}(x) &= \frac{(c)_n(1-N)_n}{(n+\alpha+c-N)_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\frac{(-n)_j(-x)_j(n+\alpha+c-N)_j}{(1-N)_j(c)_j j!} \\ &= \frac{(c)_n(1-N)_n}{(n+\alpha+c-N)_n}\,{}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-n,-x,n+\alpha+c-N \\ c,1-N\end{matrix};1\right). \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Hahn polynomials are eigenfunctions of the following second order difference operator

`sodeha`

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha,c,N} &= x(x-\alpha)\mathfrak{s}_{-1}+(x+c)(x-N+1)\mathfrak{s}_1 \\ &\qquad +[-2x^2+(\alpha-c+N-1)x+\alpha+N(c-1)-1]\mathfrak{s}_0, \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

$$D_{\alpha,c,N}(h_n^{\alpha,c,N}) = (n+1)(n+\alpha+c-N-1)h_n^{\alpha,c,N}, \quad n\geq 0.$$

They satisfy the following three term recurrence formula ($h_{-1}^{\alpha,c,N}=0$)

`trha`

$$xh_n = h_{n+1}+b_nh_n+c_nh_{n-1}, \quad n\geq 0 \tag{7.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= \frac{c(N-1)(\alpha+c-N-1)+n(\alpha-c+N-1)(n+\alpha+c-N)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N+1)}, \\ c_n &= \frac{n(N-n)(n+\alpha+c-N-1)(n+\alpha-N)(n+c-1)(n+\alpha+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)^2(2n+\alpha+c-N)} \end{aligned}$$

(to simplify the notation we remove the parameters in some formulas).

Assume that $\alpha+c-N+1,\alpha-N+1,\alpha+c,c\neq 0,-1,-2,\cdots$. If, in addition, N is not a positive integer, then the Hahn polynomials are always orthogonal with respect to a moment functional $\rho_{\alpha,c,N}$, which we normalize by taking

$$\langle\rho_{\alpha,c,N},1\rangle = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1-N)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(\alpha+c)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c+1-N)}.$$

When N is a positive integer, the first N Hahn polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the Hahn measure

`haw`

$$\rho_{\alpha,c,N} = \Gamma(N)\sum_{x=0}^{N-1}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-x)\Gamma(x+c)}{\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x \tag{7.5}$$

(which it is positive when $\alpha>N-1$ and $c>0$).

We also need the so-called (monic) dual Hahn polynomials.

`defdualhap`

$$h_k^{*,\alpha,c,N}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_j(1-N+j)_{k-j}(c+j)_{k-j}}{j!}s_{j,N-\alpha-c}(x), \tag{7.6}$$

where $s_{j,N-\alpha-c}$, $j\geq 0$, are the polynomials defined by (2.6), that is, $s_{0,N-\alpha-c}=1$ and for $j\geq 1$

$$s_{j,N-\alpha-c}(x) = (-1)^j\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}[x+i(N-\alpha-c-i)].$$

Using Lemma 2.2 we can also write the dual Hahn polynomials in terms of the hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$

defdha3F2

$$\begin{aligned} h_k^{*,\alpha,c,N}(x(x+\alpha+c-N)) &= (c)_k(1-N)_k\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_j(-x)_j(x+\alpha+c-N)_j}{(1-N)_j(c)_j j!} \\ &= (c)_k(1-N)_{k\,3}F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-k,-x,x+\alpha+c-N \\ c,1-N\end{matrix};1\right). \end{aligned}\tag{7.7}$$

(7.2) and (7.7) give the duality of the Hahn and dual Hahn polynomials with respect to the sequences n and $n(n+\alpha+c-N)$, that is, for $k,n\geq 0$

$$(c)_n(1-N)_n h_k^{*,\alpha,c,N}(n(n+\alpha+c-N)) = (c)_k(1-N)_k(n+a+c-N)_n h_n^{\alpha,c,N}(k).$$

The computations in the Hahn case are technically more involved because the eigenvalue sequence $(\theta_n)_n$ (7.3) for the Hahn polynomials (with respect to its second order difference operator) is quadratic in n. We first need some notation.

We write $(\sigma_n)_n$ and $(\theta_n)_n$ for the sequences

osigj

$$\theta_n = (n+1)(n+\alpha+c-N-1),\tag{7.8}$$

osigj2

$$\sigma_n = 2n+\alpha+c-N-2,\tag{7.9}$$

($(\sigma_n)_n$ is one of sequences which define the first and four $\mathcal{D}$-operators in Lemma 7.2 below). For $j\geq 0$, we also consider the sequence

$$u_j(n) = (n)_j(-n-\alpha-c+N+2)_j,$$

and the polynomials $r_0=1$ and for $j\geq 1$

defrj

$$r_j(x) = (-1)^j\prod_{i=0}^{j-1}[x+i(\alpha+c-N-2-i)].\tag{7.10}$$

Since the polynomial r_j has degree just j, all polynomial $P\in\mathbb{P}$ can be written as $P(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{\deg(P)} w_j r_j(x)$ for certain numbers w_j, $j=0,\cdots,\deg(P)$.

We will need the following technical Lemma.

ulll

Lemma 7.1. *Let $\alpha,c,N\in\mathbb{R}$ be real numbers. Then for $j,n\geq 0$*

l721

$$u_j(n) = r_j(\theta_{n-1}),\tag{7.11}$$

l722

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n u_j(n)+\sigma_{n+1}u_j(n+1) = {}&-2\frac{u_{j+1}(n+1)-u_{j+1}(n)}{j+1} \\ &+(-\alpha-c+N+2(j+1))(u_j(n+1)-u_j(n)). \end{aligned}\tag{7.12}$$

Proof. (7.11) is just Lemma 2.2 for $x=-n$ and $u=\alpha+c-N-2$.

(7.12) of the Lemma can be checked by a direct computation.

□

Proceeding as in the previous Sections, we have identified four $\mathcal{D}$-operators of type 2 for Hahn polynomials (the proof is omitted).

lTha

Lemma 7.2. *For α,c,N satisfying $\alpha+c-N\neq -1,-2,\cdots$, consider the Hahn polynomials $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ (7.1). Then, the operators $\mathcal{D}_i$, $i=1,2,3,4$ defined by (3.9)* *from the sequences* ($n \geq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{n,1} &= \frac{n(N-n)(n+\alpha-N)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)}, & \sigma_{n,1} &= 2n+\alpha+c-N-2,\\
\varepsilon_{n,2} &= \frac{n(n+\alpha-N)(n+\alpha+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)}, & \sigma_{n,2} &= -(2n+\alpha+c-N-2),\\
\varepsilon_{n,3} &= \frac{-n(N-n)(n+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)}, & \sigma_{n,3} &= -(2n+\alpha+c-N-2),\\
\varepsilon_{n,4} &= \frac{-n(n+c-1)(n+\alpha+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)}, & \sigma_{n,4} &= 2n+\alpha+c-N-2,
\end{aligned}$$

are $\mathcal{D}$*-operators for* $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ *and the algebra* $\mathcal{A}$ *(1.10) of difference operators with polynomial coefficients. More precisely*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_1 &= (-x+N-1)\Delta - \frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}I,\\
\mathcal{D}_2 &= (x-\alpha)\nabla + \frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}I,\\
\mathcal{D}_3 &= x\nabla + \frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}I,\\
\mathcal{D}_4 &= -(x+c)\Delta - \frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}I.
\end{aligned}$$

Each one of the $\mathcal{D}$-operators displayed in the previous Lemma together with Lemma 3.1 gives rise to the corresponding class of polynomials satisfying higher order difference equations. We only proceed with the first and second $\mathcal{D}$-operators because due to the symmetries of Hahn polynomials, the examples generated from the first and third operators are essentially the same, as well as the examples generated from the second and the fourth operators.

th5.1ha **Theorem 7.3.** *Let* α, c, N *be real numbers satisfying* $\alpha+c-N \neq -1, -2, \cdots$. *Let* P_2 *be an arbitrary polynomial of degree* $k \geq 1$ *which we write in the form*

$$P_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_j r_j(x),$$

for certain numbers w_j, $j = 0, \cdots, k$. *Consider also the polynomial* P_1 *of degree* $k+1$ *defined by*

$$P_1(x) = (\alpha+c-N)P_2(x) + 2(x-\alpha-c+N+1)\sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{w_j}{j+1} r_j(x).$$

Consider next de sequences of numbers $(\gamma_n)_n$, $(\beta_{n,i})_n$, $i = 1, 2$, *and* $(\lambda_n)_n$ *defined by*

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_j u_j(n), \quad n \geq 0,$$

obnj
$$\beta_{n,1} = \frac{n(N-n)(n+\alpha-N)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{7.13}$$

obnj2
$$\beta_{n,2} = \frac{n(n+\alpha-N)(n+\alpha+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{7.14}$$

lnj

$$\lambda_n=\frac{\sigma_n\gamma_n+P_1(\theta_{n-1})}{2},\quad n\geq 1,\quad \lambda_0=\frac{P_1(\theta_0)-\sigma_1P_2(\theta_0)}{2}, \tag{7.15}$$

where $(\theta_n)_n$ *and* $(\sigma_n)_n$ *are defined by (7.8) and (7.9), and we implicitly assume that* $\gamma_n\neq 0$, $n\geq 1$. *Define finally the sequences of polynomials* $q_{0,i}=1$, *and for* $n\geq 1$

qnnoj

$$q_{n,i}=h_n^{\alpha,c,N}+\beta_{n,i}h_{n-1}^{\alpha,c,N},\quad i=1,2, \tag{7.16}$$

where $h_n^{\alpha,c,N}$ *is the Hahn polynomial (7.1). Consider the second order difference operator* $D_{\alpha,c,N}$ *(7.3) with respect to which the polynomials* $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ *are eigenfunctions. Write finally* D_i, $i=1,2$, *for the difference operators of order* $2k+2$ *and genre* $(-k-1,k+1)$

$$D_1=\frac{1}{2}P_1(D_{\alpha,c,N})+\left(-\frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}+(-x+N-1)\Delta\right)P_2(D_{\alpha,c,N}),$$
$$D_2=\frac{1}{2}P_1(D_{\alpha,c,N})-\left(\frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}+(x-\alpha)\nabla\right)P_2(D_{\alpha,c,N}).$$

Then $D_i(q_{n,i})=\lambda_nq_{n,i}$, $n\geq 0$, $i=1,2$.

Proof. The theorem is a straightforward consequence of the Lemma 3.2. We have just to identify who the main characters are in this example.

Write

$$\varepsilon_{n,1}=\frac{n(N-n)(n+\alpha-N)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)},\quad \sigma_{n,1}=\sigma_n,$$
$$\varepsilon_{n,2}=\frac{n(n+\alpha-N)(n+\alpha+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)},\quad \sigma_{n,2}=-\sigma_n.$$

Lemma 7.2 gives that the sequences $(\varepsilon_{n,i})_n$ and $(\sigma_{n,i})_n$, $i=1,2$, define two $\mathcal{D}$-operators of type 2 (3.9) for the polynomials $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ and that

$$\mathcal{D}_1=-\frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}I+(-x+N-1)\Delta,$$
$$\mathcal{D}_2=\frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}I+(x-\alpha)\nabla.$$

Consider now the polynomial

alP1

$$Q(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}w_j\left(\frac{-2}{j+1}r_{j+1}(x)+(-\alpha-c+N+2(j+1))r_j(x)\right). \tag{7.17}$$

A straightforward computation using (7.10) gives that $Q=P_1$.

According to the Lemma 3.2 we have just to check that

1. $\gamma_{n+1}=P_2(\theta_n)$, $n\geq 0$.
2. $\lambda_n-\lambda_{n-1}=\sigma_n\gamma_n$, $n\geq 1$.
3. $\lambda_n+\lambda_{n-1}=P_1(\theta_{n-1})$, $n\geq 1$, where θ_n are the eigenvalues of $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ with respect to $D_{\alpha,c,N}$.

Formula (1) is a direct consequence of (7.11) in Lemma 7.1.

Using the definition of λ_n, one can easily see that for $n\geq 2$ conditions 2 and 3 above are equivalent to

$$\frac{P_1(\theta_n)-P_1(\theta_{n-1})}{2}=\frac{\sigma_n\gamma_n+\sigma_{n+1}\gamma_{n+1}}{2}.$$

But this is a direct consequence of (7.12) in Lemma 7.1 and the formula (7.17) for P_1.

Conditions 2 and 3 above for $n=1$ can be checked by a straightforward computation. ☐

7.1. **Hahn I.** For a convenient choice of the polynomial P_2, the polynomials $(q_{n,1})_n$ in the Theorem 7.3 turn out to be orthogonal with respect to a moment functional. To identify that polynomial P_2, we need the family of dual Hahn polynomials $h^*_{1,k}=h_k^{*,N+c-1,2-c,\alpha+c-1}$. Using (7.6) and (7.7) we get

`dhp`
$$h^*_{1,k}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_j(2-\alpha-c+j)_{k-j}(2-c+j)_{k-j}}{j!}r_j(x), \tag{7.18}$$
$$\begin{aligned}&h^*_{1,k}(x(x+2+N-\alpha-c))\\ &\qquad=(2-\alpha-c)_k(2-c)_k{}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-k,-x,x+2+N-\alpha-c\\ 2-\alpha-c,2-c\end{matrix};1\right).\end{aligned}$$

This coincides with the hypergeometric representation for the (monic) dual Hahn polynomials given in [23], p. 208 (with the notation of [23],

$$h^*_{1,k}(\lambda(x))=(2-\alpha-c)_k(2-c)_kR_k(\lambda(x),1-\alpha-c,N,c-2)$$

where $\lambda(x)=x(x+2+N-\alpha-c)$). They satisfy the following second order difference equation in the lattice $x(x+2+N-\alpha-c)$ (see [23] pp. 209)

`sodedh`
$$r(x)\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_{-1}(h^*_{1,k})-(r(x)+s(x))\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_0(h^*_{1,k})+s(x)\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_1(h^*_{1,k})=ku(x)\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_0(h^*_{1,k}), \tag{7.19}$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_l(p)=p((x+l)(x+l+2+N-\alpha-c))$, $l\in\mathbb{Z}$, and

`rsu`
$$\begin{aligned}r(x)&=-x(x+N)(x-\alpha+N)(2x-\alpha-c+N+3),\\ s(x)&=(x-\alpha-c+N+2)(x-\alpha-c+2)(x-c+2)(2x-\alpha-c+N+1),\\ u(x)&=(2x-\alpha-c+N+1)(2x-\alpha-c+N+2)(2x-\alpha-c+N+3).\end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

They also satisfy the following first order difference equation ([23] pp. 210): if we write $\tilde\Delta=\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_1-\tilde{\mathfrak{s}}_0$, then

`fodedh`
$$\tilde\Delta(h^{*,c}_{1,k})=k(2x-\alpha-c+N+3)h^{*,c-1}_{1,k-1}(x(x+3+N-\alpha-c)). \tag{7.21}$$

For k a positive integer, and $\alpha,c,N\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

`conacN`
$$\alpha+c-N+1,\alpha-N+1,\alpha+c-k-1,c-k-1\neq 0,-1,-2,\cdots, \tag{7.22}$$

let $\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}$ be the moment functional defined by

`thaw`
$$\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}=(x+c-1)\cdots(x+c-k)\rho_{\alpha,c-k-1,N} \tag{7.23}$$

where $\rho_{\alpha,c,N}$ is the orthogonalizing moment functional for the Hahn polynomials $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$. When N is a positive integer we have that

`thaw2`
$$\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}=\Gamma(N)\sum_{x=0}^{N-1}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-x)\Gamma(x+c)}{(x+c-k-1)\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x, \tag{7.24}$$

(only the first N Hahn polynomials are then orthogonal). To simplify the notation we remove the dependence of α,c,N and k and write $\tilde\rho=\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}$.

In order to find the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}$ we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 7.4. *For k a positive integer, let α, c, N be real numbers satisfying (7.22). Then, we have*

$$\langle \tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}, h_n^{\alpha,c,N}\rangle = \frac{(-1)^n n!(N-n)_n\Gamma(c-k-1)\Gamma(\alpha+n-N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+c-k-1)h^*_{1,k}(\theta_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c-N+2n)},$$

where (θ_n) is the sequence defined by (7.8).

Proof. We proceed in an analogous way to the Lemmas 4.2 or 5.3. For $n\geq 0$ write

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_n &= \langle \tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}, h_n^{\alpha,c,N}\rangle,\\ \zeta_n &= \frac{(-1)^n n!(N-n)_n\Gamma(c-k-1)\Gamma(\alpha+n-N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+c-k-1)h^*_{1,k}(\theta_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c-N+2n)}.\end{aligned}$$

The three term recurrence relation (7.4) for $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$ and the normalization for the Hahn weight $\rho_{\alpha,c,N}$ give that

ultdva
$$\xi_1+(b_0+c-k-1)\xi_0-\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(\alpha+1-N)\Gamma(\alpha+c)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c+1-N)}=0, \tag{7.25}$$

ultdv
$$\xi_{n+1}+(b_n+c-k-1)\xi_n+c_n\xi_{n-1}=0,\quad n\geq 1, \tag{7.26}$$

where b_n and c_n are the recurrence coefficients of the Hahn polynomials $(h_n^{\alpha,c,N})_n$.

We now prove that also

mecme3a
$$\zeta_1+(b_0+c-k-1)\zeta_0-\frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(\alpha+1-N)\Gamma(\alpha+c)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c+1-N)}=0, \tag{7.27}$$

mecme3
$$\zeta_{n+1}+(b_n+c-k-1)\zeta_n+c_n\zeta_{n-1}=0,\quad n\geq 1. \tag{7.28}$$

After straightforward computations taking into account the definition of ζ_n, (7.28) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}r(-n-1)h^*_{1,k}(\theta_{n+1})-&(r(-n-1)+s(-n-1)+ku(-n-1))h^*_{1,k}(\theta_n)\\ &+s(-n-1)h^*_{1,k}(\theta_{n-1})=0\end{aligned}$$

where the polynomials r, s and u are given by (7.20). But this follows just by writing $x=-n-1$ in the second order difference equation (7.19) for the dual Hahn polynomials $(h^*_{1,k})_k$. (7.27) follows in a similar way.

Since the sequences $(\xi_n)_n$ and $(\zeta_n)_n$ satisfy the same recurrence relation, it is enough to prove that $\xi_0=\zeta_0$. If we write

$$\eta_{k,c}=\xi_0=\langle\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N},1\rangle=\langle\rho_{\alpha,c,N},(x+c-1)\cdots(x+c-k)\rangle,$$

and proceed as in Lemma 5.3, we find that

$$\eta_{k,c}-k\eta_{k-1,c-1}=\frac{\Gamma(c-1)\Gamma(\alpha-N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+c-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c-N)}.$$

On the other hand, if we write

$$\tau_{k,c}=\zeta_0=\frac{\Gamma(c-k-1)\Gamma(\alpha-N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+c-k-1)h^{*;c}_{1,k}(\alpha+c-N-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c-N)},$$

a simple computation using (7.21) gives

$$\tau_{k,c}-k\tau_{k-1,c-1}=\frac{\Gamma(c-1)\Gamma(\alpha-N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+c-1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c-N)}.$$

From where we easily get $\xi_0 = \zeta_0$.

$\square$

We are now ready to prove that the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde\rho_{k,a,c,N}$ (7.23) are a particular case of Theorem 7.3.

lm61ha **Theorem 7.5.** *For $k \geq 1$, let α, c, N be real numbers satisfying (7.22) and $h^*_{1,k}(n(n-2-N+a+c)) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, where $h^*_{1,k}$, $k \geq 1$, are the dual Hahn polynomials (7.18). We then define the polynomials P_2 and P_1, of degrees k and $k+1$, respectively, by*

defp1ha
$$P_2(x) = h^*_{1,k}(x), \tag{7.29}$$

defp2ha
$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x) = {} & (\alpha + c - N)h^*_{1,k}(x) + 2(x - \alpha - c + N + 1) \\ & \times \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-k)_j(2-\alpha-c+j)_{k-j}(2-c+j)_{k-j}}{(j+1)!} r_j(x). \end{aligned} \tag{7.30}$$

We also define the sequences of numbers $(\lambda_n)_n$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ by

eighak
$$\lambda_n = \frac{\sigma_n\gamma_n + P_1(\theta_{n-1})}{2}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{P_1(\theta_0) - \sigma_1 P_2(\theta_0)}{2}, \tag{7.31}$$

defdelha
$$\gamma_n = h^*_{1,k}(n(n-2-N+a+c)), \tag{7.32}$$

defbetha
$$\beta_n = \frac{n(N-n)(n+\alpha-N)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \tag{7.33}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ by $q_0 = 1$, and

defqha
$$q_n(x) = h_n^{a,c,N}(x) + \beta_n h_{n-1}^{a,c,N}(x), \quad n \geq 1, \tag{7.34}$$

where $(\theta_n)_n$ and $(\sigma_n)_n$ are defined by (7.8) and (7.9). If N is not a positive integer, then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ are orthogonal with respect to the moment functional $\tilde\rho$ (7.23). If N is a positive integer, then the polynomials $(q_n)_{0\leq n\leq N}$ are orthogonal with respect to the measure $\tilde\rho$ (7.24). Moreover, if we consider the difference operator of order $2k+2$ and genre $(-k-1, k+1)$ defined by

$$D = \frac{1}{2}P_1(D_{\alpha,c,N}) + \left(-\frac{\alpha+c-N}{2} + (-x+N-1)\Delta\right) P_2(D_{\alpha,c,N}),$$

where $D_{\alpha,c,N}$ is the second order difference operator for the Hahn polynomials (7.3), then $D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$.

Proof. The first part of the Theorem can be proved in an analogous way to Theorem 4.3.

The second part is the particular case of Theorem 7.5 for $i = 1$ and

$$w_j = \frac{(-k)_j(2-\alpha-c+j)_{k-j}(2-c+j)_{k-j}}{j!}.$$

$\square$

Conjecture 1 in the Introduction for the Hahn weight $\rho_{\alpha,c,N}$ and the finite set $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ can be deduced as a Corollary of the previous Theorem:

cha555 **Corollary 7.6.** *For a positive integer k, write $F = \{1, 2, \cdots, k\}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}(x) = \prod_{j\in F}(x + c + j)$. Let α, c, N be real numbers satisfying that $\alpha + c - N + k + 2, \alpha - N + 1, \alpha + c, c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$ and that*

$$h^{*,\alpha,c+k+1,N}_{1,k}(n(n+\alpha+c+k-N-1)) \neq 0.$$

Then, the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the measure $\mathfrak{p}_{-c-F}\rho_{a,c,N}$ are common eigenfunctions of a $(2k+2)$-order difference operator of genre $(-k-1,k+1)$.

7.2. **Hahn II.** There is other choice of the polynomial P_2 for which the polynomials $(q_{n,2})_n$ in the Theorem 7.3 are also orthogonal with respect to a moment functional. Indeed, write $(h^*_{2,k})_k$ for the family of dual Hahn polynomials $h^*_{2,k}=h_k^{*,-\alpha,2-c,-N}$. Using (7.6) and (7.7) we get

dhp2
$$h^*_{2,k}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_j(2-c+j)_{k-j}(N+1+j)_{k-j}}{j!}r_j(x), \tag{7.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}h^*_{2,k}(x(x+2+N-\alpha-c))\\ &=(2-c)_k(N+1)_k\,{}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix}-k,-x,x+2+N-\alpha-c\\ 2-c,N+1\end{matrix};1\right).\end{aligned} \tag{7.36}$$

This coincides with the hypergeometric representation for the dual Hahn polynomials given in [23], p. 208 (with the notation of [23],

$$h^*_{2,k}(\lambda(x))=(2-c)_k(N+1)_kR_k(\lambda(x),1-c,N-\alpha,-N-1)$$

where $\lambda(x)=x(x+2+N-\alpha-c))$.

For k a positive integer, and $\alpha,c,N\in\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

conacN2
$$\alpha+c-N+1,\alpha-N+1,\alpha+c,c-k-1\neq 0,-1,-2,\cdots, \tag{7.37}$$

let $\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}$ be the measure defined by

thawb
$$\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}=(x+1)\cdots(x+k)\rho_{\alpha+k+1,c-k-1,N+k+1}(x+k+1). \tag{7.38}$$

When N is a positive integer we have

thawb2
$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N}&=(-1)^kk!\Gamma(\alpha+k+1)\Gamma(c-k-1)\delta_{-k-1}\\ &\quad+\Gamma(N+k+1)\sum_{x=0}^{N-1}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha-x)\Gamma(x+c)}{(x+k+1)\Gamma(N-x)x!}\delta_x.\end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

To simplify the notation we remove the dependence of a,c,N and k and write $\tilde\rho=\tilde\rho_{k,a,c,N}$.

The proofs of the following Theorems are similar to that of the previous Sections and are omitted.

Lemma 7.7. *For k a positive integer, let α,c,N be real numbers satisfying (7.37). Then, we have*

$$\langle\tilde\rho_{k,\alpha,c,N},h_n^{\alpha,c,N}\rangle=\frac{(-1)^{n+k}n!\Gamma(c-k-1)\Gamma(\alpha+c+n)\Gamma(\alpha+1+n-N)h^*_{2,k}(\theta_n)}{\Gamma(\alpha+c-N+2n)},$$

where (θ_n) is the sequence defined by (7.8).

lm61ha2 **Theorem 7.8.** *For $k\geq 1$, let α,c,N be real numbers satisfying (7.37) and $h^*_{2,k}(n(n-2-N+a+c))\neq 0$, $n\geq 0$, where $h^*_{2,k}$, $k\geq 1$, are the dual Hahn polynomials (7.35).*

We then define the polynomials P_2 *and* P_1*, of degrees* k *and* $k+1$*, respectively, by*

`defp1ha2` `defp2ha2`

$$P_2(x)=h^*_{2,k}(x), \tag{7.40}$$

$$P_1(x)=(\alpha+c-N)h^*_{2,k}(x)+2(x-\alpha-c+N+1)\times\sum_{j=0}^{k}\frac{(-k)_j(2-c+j)_{k-j}(N+1+j)_{k-j}}{(j+1)!}r_j(x). \tag{7.41}$$

We also define the sequences of numbers $(\lambda_n)_n$*,* $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *and* $(\beta_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *by*

`eigmek2` `defdelha2` `defbetha2`

$$\lambda_n=\frac{\sigma_n\gamma_n+P_1(\theta_{n-1})}{2},\quad n\geq 1,\quad \lambda_0=\frac{P_1(\theta_0)-\sigma_1P_2(\theta_0)}{2}, \tag{7.42}$$

$$\gamma_n=h^*_{2,k}(n(n-2-N+a+c)), \tag{7.43}$$

$$\beta_n=\frac{n(n+\alpha-N)(n+\alpha+c-1)}{(2n+\alpha+c-N-1)(2n+\alpha+c-N-2)}\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \tag{7.44}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $(q_n)_n$ *by* $q_0=1$*, and*

`defqha2`

$$q_n(x)=h_n^{a,c,N}(x)+\beta_nh_{n-1}^{a,c,N}(x),\quad n\geq 1, \tag{7.45}$$

where $(\theta_n)_n$ *and* $(\sigma_n)_n$ *are defined by (7.8) and (7.9). If* N *is not a positive integer, then the polynomials* $(q_n)_n$ *are orthogonal with respect to the moment functional* $\tilde\rho$ *(7.38). If* N *is a positive integer, then the polynomials* $(q_n)_{0\leq n\leq N}$ *are orthogonal with respect to the measure* $\tilde\rho$ *(7.39). Moreover, if we consider the difference operator of order* $2k+2$ *and genre* $(-k-1,k+1)$ *defined by*

$$D=\frac{1}{2}P_1(D_{\alpha,c,N})-\left(\frac{\alpha+c-N}{2}+(x-\alpha)\nabla\right)P_2(D_{\alpha,c,N}),$$

where $D_{\alpha,c,N}$ *is the second order difference operator for the Hahn polynomials (7.3), then* $D(q_n)=\lambda_nq_n$*.*

Conjecture 2 in the Introduction for the Hahn weight $\rho_{\alpha,c,N}$ and the finite set $F=\{1,2,\cdots,k\}$ can be deduced as a Corollary of the previous Theorem:

`hahaha` **Corollary 7.9.** *For a positive integer* k*, write* $F=\{1,2,\cdots,k\}$ *and* $\mathfrak{p}_F(x)=\prod_{j\in F}(x-j)$*. Let* α,c,N *be real numbers satisfying* $\alpha+c-N+k+2,\alpha-N+1,\alpha+c,c\neq 0,-1,\cdots$*, and that*

$$h_{2,k}^{*,\alpha-k-1,c+k+1,N-k-1}(n(n+\alpha+c-N+k-1))\neq 0.$$

Then, the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the moment functional $\mathfrak{p}_F\rho_{a,c,N}$ *are common eigenfunctions of a* $(2k+2)$*-order difference operator of genre* $(-k-1,k+1)$*.*

8. APPENDIX

In this Appendix, we apply our method to the Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials to generate families of orthogonal polynomials which are eigenfunctions of higher order differential operators.

8.1. **Laguerre polynomials.** For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, we use the standard definition of the Laguerre polynomials $(L_n^\alpha)_n$

deflap

$$L_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-x)^j}{j!}\binom{n+\alpha}{n-j} \tag{8.1}$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [11], pp. 188-192).

When $\alpha \neq -1, -2, \cdots$, they are orthogonal with respect to a measure $\mu_\alpha = \mu_\alpha(x)dx$, which it is positive only when $\alpha > -1$, and then

Laguerrew

$$\mu_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}, \quad 0 < x. \tag{8.2}$$

Laguerre polynomials are eigenfunctions of the following second order differential operator

sodolag

$$D_\alpha = x\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + (\alpha+1-x)\frac{d}{dx}, \qquad D_\alpha(L_n^\alpha) = -nL_n^\alpha, \quad n \geq 0. \tag{8.3}$$

We first identify a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Laguerre polynomials.

lTlag

Lemma 8.1. *For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, let $p_n = L_n^\alpha$, $n \geq 0$, the Laguerre polynomials given by (8.1). Then the operator $\mathcal{D}$ defined by (3.2) from the sequence $\varepsilon_n = -1$, $n \geq 0$, is a $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Laguerre polynomials and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (1.11). More precisely $\mathcal{D} = d/dx$.*

Proof. Since $p_n = L_n^\alpha$, using the definition above of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ and the well-known formulas $(L_n^\alpha)' = -L_{n-1}^{\alpha+1}$, $L_n^{\alpha+1} = \sum_{j=0}^n L_{n-j}^\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}(L_n^\alpha) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{j+1}\zeta^j(L_n^\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{n}(-1)^{j+1}(-1)^j L_{n-j}^\alpha = \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^{n} L_{n-j}^\alpha = -\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} L_{n-1-j}^\alpha = -L_{n-1}^{\alpha+1} = \frac{d(L_n^\alpha)}{dx}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives $\mathcal{D} = d/dx$.

□

The previous Lemma together with Lemma 3.1 gives rise to the corresponding families of polynomials satisfying higher order differential equations.

th5.1lag

Theorem 8.2. *Let P_1 be a polynomial of degree $k+1$, $k \geq 1$, and write $P_2(x) = P_1(x-1) - P_1(x)$ (so that P_2 is a polynomial of degree k). We assume that $P_2(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$, and define the sequences of numbers*

becc

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{n+1} &= P_2(-n), \quad n \geq 0,\\ \lambda_n &= P_1(-n), \quad n \geq 0,\\ \beta_n &= -\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n} \quad n \geq 1,\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

and the sequence of polynomials $q_0 = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$

qnnolag

$$q_n = L_n^\alpha + \beta_n L_{n-1}^\alpha, \tag{8.5}$$

where L_n^α is the n-th Laguerre polynomial. Write finally D for the differential operator of order $2k+2$

$$D = P_1(D_\alpha) + \frac{d}{dx}P_2(D_\alpha),$$

where D_α is the second order differential operator with respect to which the Laguerre polynomials $(L_n^\alpha)_n$ are eigenfunctions.

Then $D(q_n)=\lambda_n q_n$, $n\geq 0$.

The case $k=1$ in the previous Theorem is included in [13], and for general k is implicit in [19].

We next consider some important particular cases of the previous theorem.

For $\alpha\neq 0,-1,-2,\cdots$ and $M\neq -\Gamma(n)/\Gamma(n+\alpha)$, consider the measure $\tilde\rho_{\alpha,M}$ defined by

`darlag`
$$\tilde\rho_{\alpha,M}=\alpha\Gamma^2(\alpha)M\delta_0+\mu_{\alpha-1}(x)dx,\quad 0<x, \tag{8.6}$$

where $\mu_\alpha(x)$ is the orthogonalizing function for the Laguerre polynomials $(L_n^\alpha)_n$. When $\alpha>0$ and $M>0$, the measure $\tilde\rho_{\alpha,M}$ is the Krall-Laguerre-Koornwinder measure

$$\alpha\Gamma^2(\alpha)M\delta_0+x^{\alpha-1}e^{-x},\quad 0<x.$$

To simplify the notation we remove the dependence of α and M and write $\tilde\rho=\tilde\rho_{\alpha,M}$.

Using Lemma 2.1, we find explicitly a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde\rho$ in terms of $p_n=L_n^\alpha$, $n\geq 0$.

`l4.1` **Lemma 8.3.** *Let $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be the sequence of numbers defined by*

`defdellagnn`
$$\gamma_n=1+M\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha)}{\Gamma(n)}. \tag{8.7}$$

Write

`defbetlagnn`
$$\beta_n=-\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n},\quad n\geq 1. \tag{8.8}$$

Then the polynomials defined by $q_0=1$ and

`defqnlagnn`
$$q_n=L_n^\alpha+\beta_nL_{n-1}^\alpha,\quad n\geq 1, \tag{8.9}$$

are orthogonal with respect to $\tilde\rho$ (8.6).

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 2.1, we have $\lambda=0$, $\nu=\mu_{\alpha-1}(x)dx$ and $\mu=\mu_\alpha(x)dx$. Hence the formula $L_n^{\alpha+1}=\sum_{j=0}^n L_{n-j}^\alpha$ gives $\alpha_n=\Gamma(\alpha)$. Since we also have $p_n(0)=\binom{n+\alpha}{n}$, an straightforward computation gives (8.7) and (8.8) from (2.5). ☐

The formula (8.9) is the particular case $k=1$ of formula (3.16) in [19].

When α is a positive integer, the sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ (8.7) is a polynomial in n, and then Theorem 8.2 gives the $(2\alpha+2)$-th order differential equation that the Krall-Laguerre-Koornwinder polynomials (8.9) satisfy.

`kkk` **Theorem 8.4.** *Let $(q_n)_n$ be the sequence of orthogonal polynomials (8.9) with respect to the measure $\tilde\rho$ (8.6). Assume that α is a positive integer. Define the sequence of numbers λ_n by*

`eiglagk`
$$\lambda_n=n+\frac{M}{\alpha+1}n(n+1)\cdots(n+\alpha), \tag{8.10}$$

and the polynomials P_1 and P_2, of degrees $\alpha+1$ and α, respectively, by

$$P_1(x)=-x+\frac{M}{\alpha+1}(-x)(-x+1)\cdots(-x+\alpha),$$
$$P_2(x)=1+M(-x+1)(-x+2)\cdots(-x+\alpha).$$

Consider the differential operator of order $2\alpha+2$ *defined by*

$$D = P_1(D_\alpha) + \frac{d}{dx}P_2(D_\alpha),$$

where D_α *is the second order differential operator for the Laguerre polynomials defined by (8.3). Then*

$$D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n.$$

Proof. It is enough to check that $P_2(x) = P_1(x-1) - P_1(x)$ and then use Theorem 8.2.

□

Except for a sign, the eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_n$ (8.10) coincide with that given by J. and R. Koekoek in [20]. Hence, we can conclude that the $(2\alpha+2)$-th order differential operator $-D$, where D is displayed in the previous theorem, coincides with the differential operator found by J. and R. Koekoek for the Krall-Laguerre-Koornwinder polynomials. This provides a nice expression for Koekoek differential operator as a polynomial combination of the Laguerre second order differential operator.

When α is not equal to k, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (8.5) in Theorem 8.2 seem not to be orthogonal with respect to a measure. Anyway they enjoy certain orthogonality property. Indeed, when $P_2(1) \neq 0$, since P_2 is a polynomial of degree k, we can choose numbers w_j, $j = 1, \cdots, k$, such that

`pqdlc2`

$$P_2(-x) = P_2(1)\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_j \binom{x+j}{j}\right). \tag{8.11}$$

On the other hand, if $P_2(1) = 0$, we can choose numbers w_j, $j = 0, \cdots, k$, such that

`pqdlc3`

$$P_2(-x) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_j \binom{x+j}{j} \tag{8.12}$$

(in particular $w_0 = -1$).

We then define the polynomial Q by

`pqdlc`

$$Q(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{k}(\alpha-j)_j w_j x^{k-j}, & \text{if } P_2(1) \neq 0, \\ \sum_{j=0}^{k}(\alpha-j)_j w_j x^{k-j}, & \text{if } P_2(1) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{8.13}$$

Notice that the polynomial Q has degree at most $k-1$ for $P_2(1) \neq 0$, but k for $P_2(1) = 0$.

`occc` **Lemma 8.5.** *Let* P_2 *be an arbitrary polynomial of degree* k *satisfying that* $P_2(-n) \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$. *For* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{k, k-1, \cdots\}$, *consider the polynomials* $(q_n)_n$ *defined by (8.5). If we write*

`innlag`

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_0^\infty f(x)g(x)\mu_{\alpha-1}(x)dx + g(0)\int_0^\infty f(x)Q(x)\mu_{\alpha-k-1}(x)dx, \tag{8.14}$$

where μ_α *is the orthogonalizing measure for the Laguerre polynomials* $(L_n^\alpha)_n$ *and* Q *is the polynomial defined by (8.13), then*

(1) $\langle q_n, q_j\rangle = 0$, $j = 0, \cdots, n-1$,
(2) $\langle q_n, q_n\rangle \neq 0$, *and*
(3) $\langle x^{k+1}q_n, q_j\rangle = 0$, $n \geq k+2$ *and* $j = 0, \cdots, n-k-2$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $\langle q_n, x^j\rangle = 0$, $j = 0, \cdots, n-1$, $\langle q_n, x^n\rangle \neq 0$, and $\langle x^{k+1}q_n, x^j\rangle = 0$, $n \geq k+2$ and $j = 0, \cdots, n-k-2$.

Since q_n is a linear combination of L_n^α and L_{n-1}^α, we automatically have that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle q_n, x^j\rangle &= 0, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n-1,\\ \langle x^{k+1}q_n, x^j\rangle &= 0, \quad n \geq k+2 \text{ and } j = 0, \cdots, n-k-2,\end{aligned}$$

whatever the polynomial Q and the numbers β_n, $n \geq 0$, are. In the same way, we have for $n \geq 1$

$$\langle q_n, x^n\rangle = \int q_n(x)x^{n-1}\mu_\alpha(x)dx = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!\beta_n\|L_{n-1}^\alpha\|_2 \neq 0.$$

For $j = 0$, we proceed as follows. Using the expansion $L_n^\alpha = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(\alpha-\beta)_j}{j!}L_{n-j}^\beta$ (see [11], p. 192, (39)) we get for $l \geq 1$

$$\int L_n^\alpha \mu_{\alpha-l}(x)dx = \Gamma(\alpha-l+1)\binom{n+l-1}{l-1}.$$

We first prove the Lemma when $P_2(1) \neq 0$. Using the definition of Q (8.13) and taking into account that $x^j\mu_\alpha = \mu_{\alpha+j}$, we get

$$\langle q_n, 1\rangle = \Gamma(\alpha)\left((1+\beta_n) + \sum_{j=1}^k w_j\left[\binom{n+j}{j} + \beta_n\binom{n+j-1}{j}\right]\right).$$

Equating $\langle q_n, 1\rangle = 0$, we get (using (8.11))

$$\beta_n = -\frac{1+\sum_{j=1}^k w_j\binom{n+j}{j}}{1+\sum_{j=1}^k w_j\binom{n+j-1}{j}} = -\frac{P_2(-n)}{P_2(-(n-1))},$$

which it is precisely the expression for β_n in Theorem 8.2.

Finally,

$$\langle 1, 1\rangle = \Gamma(\alpha)\left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^k w_j\right) = \Gamma(\alpha)P_2(0)/P_2(1) \neq 0.$$

When $P_2(1) = 0$, the proof is similar. □

The orthogonality properties above for the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ imply that they have to satisfy a $(2k+3)$-term recurrence relation.

Corollary 8.6. *Assume that $\alpha \neq k, k-1, \cdots$, then the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ defined in Theorem 8.2 satisfy a $(2k+3)$-term recurrence relation of the form*

$$x^{k+1}q_n(x) = \sum_{j=-k-1}^{k+1} c_{n,j}q_{n+j}(x).$$

Proof. Write $x^{k+1}q_n = \sum_{j=-n}^{k+1} c_{n,j}q_{n+j}$. Then, part (3) of the previous Lemma gives

$$0 = \langle x^{k+1}q_n, q_0\rangle = c_{n,-n}\langle q_0, q_0\rangle.$$

Using part (2) of the previous Lemma we get $c_{n,-n} = 0$. We now proceed by induction on m. Assume $c_{n,-n+j} = 0$, $j = 0, \cdots, m$, $m < n-k-2$. Proceeding as before, we find

$$0 = \langle x^{k+1}q_n, q_{-n+m+1}\rangle = c_{n,-n+m+1}\langle q_{-n+m+1}, q_{-n+m+1}\rangle,$$

from where we deduce that also $c_{n,-n+m+1} = 0$.

$\square$

8.2. **Jacobi polynomials.** For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha+\beta \neq -1, -2, \cdots$, we use the standard definition of the Jacobi polynomials $(P_n^{\alpha,\beta})_n$

defjac

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) = 2^{-n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n+\alpha}{j}\binom{n+\beta}{n-j}(x-1)^{n-j}(x+1)^j \tag{8.15}$$

(that and the next formulas can be found in [11], pp. 169-173).

For $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \neq -1, -2, \cdots$, they are orthogonal with respect to a measure $\mu_{\alpha,\beta} = \mu_{\alpha,\beta}(x)dx$, which it is positive only when $\alpha, \beta > -1$, and then

jacw

$$\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta, \quad -1 < x < 1. \tag{8.16}$$

They are eigenfunctions of the following second order differential operator

sodojac

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\alpha,\beta} &= (1-x^2)\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + (\beta-\alpha-(\alpha+\beta+2)x)\frac{d}{dx}, \\ D_{\alpha,\beta}(P_n^{\alpha,\beta}) &= -n(n+\alpha+\beta+1)P_n^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{8.17}$$

We omit the proofs in this Section, since they are essentially the same as in the previous Sections.

We first construct two $\mathcal{D}$-operators for the Jacobi polynomials.

1Tjac

Lemma 8.7. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\alpha+\beta \neq -1, -2, \cdots$, let $p_n = P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $n \geq 0$, the Jacobi polynomials given by (8.15). Then the operators $\mathcal{D}_1$ and $\mathcal{D}_2$ defined by (3.9) from the sequences $(n \geq 0)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{n,1} &= \frac{n+\alpha}{n+\alpha+\beta}, & \sigma_{n,1} &= 2n+\alpha+\beta-1, \\ \varepsilon_{n,2} &= -\frac{n+\beta}{n+\alpha+\beta}, & \sigma_{n,2} &= -(2n+\alpha+\beta-1), \end{aligned}$$

are $\mathcal{D}$-operator for the Jacobi polynomials and the algebra $\mathcal{A}$ (1.11). More precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 &= -\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}I + (1-x)\frac{d}{dx}, \\ \mathcal{D}_2 &= \frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2}I + (1+x)\frac{d}{dx}. \end{aligned}$$

To establish the main results in this Section, we need some notation.

We write $(\sigma_n)_n$ and $(\theta_n)_n$ for the sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_n &= -n(n+\alpha+\beta+1), \\ \sigma_n &= 2n+\alpha+\beta-1. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that $(\theta_n)_n$ is just the eigenvalue sequence for the Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ (8.15). For $j \geq 0$, we also consider the sequence

$$u_j(n) = (n+\alpha)_j(n+\beta-j)_j,$$

and the polynomials $r_0 = 1$ and for $j \geq 1$

$$r_j(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1}[-x+(\alpha+i+1)(\beta-i)].$$

Since the polynomial r_j has degree just j, all polynomial $P \in \mathbb{P}$ can be written as $P(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\deg(P)} w_j r_j(x)$ for certain numbers w_j, $j = 0, \cdots, \deg(P)$.

Lemma 3.2 and the two $\mathcal{D}$-operators for the Jacobi polynomials found in Lemma 8.7 automatically produce a large class of polynomials satisfying higher order differential equations. We only proceed with the first $\mathcal{D}$-operator because due to the symmetry of the Jacobi polynomials with respect to the parameters α and β and the endpoints of its support $x = 1$ and $x = -1$ the examples generated by both $\mathcal{D}$-operators are essentially the same.

th5.1jac **Theorem 8.8.** *Let P_2 be an arbitrary polynomial of degree $k \geq 1$ which we write in the form*

$$P_2(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_j r_j(x),$$

for certain numbers w_j, $j = 0, \cdots, k$. Consider also the polynomial P_1 of degree $k+1$ defined by

$$P_1(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_j \left(\frac{2}{j+1} r_{j+1}(x) + (\alpha - \beta + 2j + 1) r_j(x) \right).$$

Consider next the sequences of numbers $(\gamma_n)_n$, $(\beta_n)_n$ and $(\lambda_n)_n$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= \sum_{j=0}^{k} w_j u_j(n), \quad n \geq 0, \\ \beta_n &= \frac{n+\alpha}{n+\alpha+\beta} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1, \\ \lambda_n &= \frac{\sigma_n \gamma_n + P_1(\theta_{n-1})}{2}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \lambda_0 = \frac{P_1(0) - (\alpha+\beta+1)P_2(0)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

where we implicitly assume that $\gamma_n \neq 0$, $n \geq 0$. Define finally the sequence of polynomials $q_0 = 1$, and for $n \geq 1$

qnnojac
$$q_n = P_n^{\alpha,\beta} + \beta_n P_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta}, \tag{8.18}$$

where $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ is the Jacobi polynomial (8.15) ($\alpha + \beta \neq -1, -2, \cdots$). Write finally D for the differential operator of order $2k+2$

$$D = \frac{1}{2} P_1(D_{\alpha,\beta}) + \left(-\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2} + (1-x)\frac{d}{dx} \right) P_2(D_{\alpha,\beta}),$$

where $D_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the second order differential operator with respect to which the polynomials $(P_n^{\alpha,\beta})_n$ are eigenfunctions (see (8.17)).

Then $D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n$, $n \geq 0$.

The case $k = 1$ in the previous Theorem is included in [13], and for general k is implicit in [18].

We next consider some important particular cases of the previous Theorem.

For $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \neq -1, -2, \cdots$ and $M \neq -\Gamma(n)\Gamma(n+\alpha)/(\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(n+\beta))$, consider the measure $\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta,M}$ defined by

darjac
$$\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta,M} = 2^{\alpha+\beta} \beta \Gamma^2(\beta) M \delta_{-1} + \mu_{\alpha,\beta-1}(x)dx, \tag{8.19}$$

where $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ is the orthogonalizing function for the Jacobi polynomials $(P_n^{\alpha,\beta})_n$. When $\alpha > -1, \beta > 0$ and $M > 0$, the measure $\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta,M}$ is the Krall-Jacobi-Koornwinder measure

$$2^{\alpha+\beta}\beta\Gamma^2(\beta)M\delta_{-1} + (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^{\beta-1}, \quad -1 < x < 1.$$

To simplify the notation we remove the dependence of α, β and M and write $\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta,M}$.

Using Lemma 2.1 we find explicitly a sequence of orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\tilde{\rho}$ in terms of $p_n = P_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $n \geq 0$.

Lemma 8.9. *Let* $(\gamma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ *be the sequence of numbers defined by*

`defdeljac`

$$\gamma_n = 1 + M\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha)}\frac{\Gamma(n+\beta)}{\Gamma(n)}. \tag{8.20}$$

Write

$$\beta_n = \frac{n+\alpha}{n+\alpha+\beta}\frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{\gamma_n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then the polynomials $q_0 = 1$ *and*

`defqnjac`

$$q_{n,} = P_n^{\alpha,\beta} + \beta_n P_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{8.21}$$

are orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{\rho}$ *(8.19).*

The formula (8.9) is the particular case $k = 1$ of formula (3.10) in [18].

When β is a positive integer, the sequence $(\gamma_n)_n$ (8.20) is a polynomial in n, and then Theorem 8.2 gives the $(2\alpha+2)$-th order differential equation that the Krall-Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials (8.21) satisfy.

Theorem 8.10. *Let* $(q_n)_n$ *be the sequence of polynomials (8.21) orthogonal with respect to the measure* $\tilde{\rho}$ *(8.19). Assume that* β *is a positive integer. Define the sequence of numbers* λ_n *by*

`eigjack`

$$\lambda_n = (n+\alpha+\beta)\left(n + M(n+\alpha)_\beta(n)_\beta\left(1+\frac{n-1}{\beta+1}\right)\right) + \alpha\beta, \tag{8.22}$$

and the polynomials P_1 *and* P_2*, of degrees* $\beta+1$ *and* β*, respectively, by*

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(x) = \alpha\beta + (\alpha+1)(\beta+1) - 2x + M\left(-\frac{2x}{\beta+1} + \alpha+\beta+1\right) \\ \times \prod_{i=0}^{\beta-1}[-x + (\alpha+i+1)(\beta-i)], \end{aligned}$$

$$P_2(x) = 1 + M\prod_{i=0}^{\beta-1}[-x + (\alpha+i+1)(\beta-i)].$$

Consider the differential operator of order $2\beta+2$ *defined by*

$$D = \frac{1}{2}P_1(D_{\alpha,\beta}) + \left(-\frac{\alpha+\beta+1}{2} + (1-x)\frac{d}{dx}\right)P_2(D_{\alpha,\beta}),$$

where $D_{\alpha,\beta}$ *is the second order differential operator defined by (8.17). Then*

$$D(q_n) = \lambda_n q_n.$$

Comparing the eigenvalues $(\lambda_n)_n$ (8.22) with that given by Zhedanov in [38], (8.14), we can conclude that the differential operator found by Zhedanov for the Krall-Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials orthogonal with respect to (1.16), $N = 0$, coincides (up to normalization) with the $(2\beta + 2)$-th order differential operator displayed in the previous theorem.

When β is not equal to k, the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ (8.18) in Theorem 8.8 seem not to be orthogonal with respect to a measure. Proceeding in the same way as in the previous Section (see Lemma 8.5) one can see that they enjoy similar orthogonality properties with respect to the nonsymmetric bilinear form

$$\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} f(x)g(x)\mu_{\alpha,\beta-1}(x)dx + g(-1)\int_{-1}^{1} f(x)Q(x)\mu_{\alpha,\beta-k-1}(x)dx,$$

where $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the orthogonalizing measure for the Jacobi polynomials $(P_n^{\alpha,\beta})_n$, and Q is certain polynomial of degree at most $k-1$ or k depending on P_2. These orthogonality properties for the polynomials $(q_n)_n$ imply that they satisfy a $(2k+3)$-term recurrence relation of the form

$$(1+x)^{k+1}q_n(x) = \sum_{j=-k-1}^{k+1} c_{n,j}q_{n+j}(x).$$

References

[1] R. Álvarez-Nodarse and A.J. Durán, Using $\mathcal{D}$-operators to construct orthogonal polynomials satisfying higher order q-difference equations, in preparation.

[2] H. Bavinck and H. van Haeringen, Difference equations for generalizations of Meixner polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 453–463.

[3] H. Bavinck and R. Koekoek, On a difference equation for generalizations of Charlier polynomials, J. Approx. Theory **81** (1995), 195–206.

[4] T. Chihara, An introduction to orthogonal polynomials, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1978.

[5] E.B. Christoffel, Über die Gaussische Quadratur und eine Verallgemeinerung derselben, J. Reine Angew. Math. **55** (1858), 61–82.

[6] J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum, Differential equations in the spectral parameter, Comm. Math. Phys. **103** (1986), 177–240.

[7] A.J. Durán, The Stieltjes moments problem for rapidly decreasing functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), 731–741.

[8] A.J. Durán, Orthogonal polynomials satisfying higher order difference equations, to appear in Constr. Approx.

[9] A.J. Durán, Symmetries for Casorati determinants of classical discrete orthogonal polynomials, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

[10] A.J. Durán, Orthogonality properties for polynomials satisfying higher order difference equations, In preparation.

[11] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. G. Tricomi, (Bateman project), Higher Trascendental Functions, Volume II McGraw Hill, New York, 1953.

[12] F.A. Grünbaum and L. Haine, Orthogonal polynomials satisfying differential equations: the role of the Darboux transformation, in: D. Levi, L. Vinet, P. Winternitz (Eds.), Symmetries an Integrability of Differential Equations, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 1996, 143–154.

[13] F. A. Grünbaum and L. Haine, Bispectral Darboux transformations: an extension of the Krall polynomials, Int. Math. Res. Not. **8** (1997), 359–392.

[14] F. A. Grünbaum, L. Haine and E. Horozov, Some functions that generalize the Krall-Laguerre polynomials, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **106**, 271–297 (1999).

[15] F. A. Grünbaum and M. Yakimov, Discrete bispectral Darboux transformations from Jacobi operators. Pac. J. Math., **204**, 395–431 (2002).

[16] J. J. Guadalupe, M. Pérez, F. J. Ruiz and J. L. Varona, Asymptotic behaviour of orthogonal polynomials relative to measures with mass points, Mathematika **40** (1993), 331-344,

[17] L. Haine, Beyond the classical orthogonal polynomials, in: The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 47-65, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.

[18] P. Iliev, Krall-Jacobi commutative algebras of partial differential operators, J. Math. Pures Appl. 96 (2011) 446-461.

[19] P. Iliev, Krall-Laguerre commutative algebras of ordinary differential operators, Ann. Mat. Pur. Appl. DOI 10.1007/s10231-011-0219-9

[20] J. Koekoek and R. Koekoek, On a differential equation for Koornwinder's generalized Laguerre polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 1045–1054.

[21] J. Koekoek and R. Koekoek, Differential equations for generalized Jacobi polynomials, J. Compt. Appl. Math. **126** (2000), 1–31.

[22] R. Koekoek, Differential Equations for Symmetric Generalized Ultraspherical Polynomials, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), 47–72.

[23] R. Koekoek, P. A. Lesky and L.F. Swarttouw, Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-analogues, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2008.

[24] T. H. Koornwinder, Orthogonal polynomials with weight function $(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta + M\delta(x+1)+N\delta(x-1)$, Canad. Math. Bull. **27** (1984), 205–214.

[25] H. L. Krall, Certain differential equations for Tchebycheff polynomials, Duke Math. **4** (1938), 705–718.

[26] H. L. Krall, On orthogonal polynomials satisfying a certain fourth order differential equation, The Pennsylvania State College Studies, No. 6, 1940.

[27] A. M. Krall and L. L. Littlejohn, On the classification of differential equations having orthogonal polynomial solutions. II, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **149** (1987), 77–102.

[28] K.H. Kwon and D.W. Lee, Characterizations of Bochner-Krall orthogonal polynomials of Jacobi type, Constr. Appr. **19** (2003), 599–619.

[29] K.H. Kwon, L.L. Littlejohn and G.J. Yoon, Orthogonal polynomial solutions of spectral type differential equations: Magnus' Conjecture, J. Appr. Th. **112** (2001), 189–215.

[30] K.H. Kwon, L.L. Littlejohn and G.J. Yoon, Construction of differential operators having Bochner-Krall orthogonal polynomials as eigenfunctions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324** (2006), 285–303.

[31] O. E. Lancaster, Orthogonal Polynomials Defined by Difference Equations, Am. J. Math., **63** (1941), 185–207.

[32] L. L. Littlejohn, The Krall polynomials: a new class of orthogonal polynomials, Quaest. Math. **5** (1982), 255–265.

[33] L. L. Littlejohn, On the classification of differential equations having orthogonal polynomial solutions, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **93** (1984), 35–53.

[34] L. L. Littlejohn, An application of a new theorem on orthogonal polynomials and differential equations, Quaest. Math. **10** (1986), 49–61.

[35] A.F. Nikiforov, S.K. Suslov and V.B. Uvarov, Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1991.

[36] G. Szegö, Orthogonal Polynomials, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1959.

[37] G.J. Yoon, Darboux Transforms and orthogonal polynomials, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **39**, (2002) 359–376.

[38] A. Zhedanov, A method of constructing Krall's polynomials, J. Compt. Appl. Math. **107** (1999), 1–20.

A. J. Durán, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Sevilla, Apdo (P. O. BOX) 1160, 41080 Sevilla. Spain.

E-mail address: `duran@us.es`